
Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board

IN THE MATTER OF *the Electricity Act, 2004 c. 25, s.1, as amended by 2010, c.2*

- and -

IN THE MATTER OF a hearing to determine Renewable Energy
Community Based Feed-in Tariffs

Reply Submission

May 6, 2011

COMFIT Reply Submission

1 Please accept this submission on behalf of Nova Scotia Power Inc. with respect to the
2 Utility and Review Board's proceeding to set community feed-in tariffs (COMFIT)
3 pursuant to the *Renewable Electricity Regulations* and the recently amended *Electricity*
4 *Act*.

5
6 Nova Scotia Power has appreciated the opportunity to participate in the Board's
7 consultation process as well as the formal proceeding as an interested party. Electricity
8 purchased by Nova Scotia Power from qualifying renewable COMFIT generators under
9 the tariffs set by the UARB will count towards Nova Scotia Power's Renewable
10 Electricity Standard (RES) requirements and plays an important part in the province's
11 Renewable Electricity Plan.

12
13 Closing submissions were submitted to the Board on April 28, 2011. Reply to those
14 submissions is required by May 6, 2011. By this submission, Nova Scotia Power
15 provides a brief Reply to two the suggestions made to the UARB by the Ecology Action
16 Centre (EAC). In particular, under the subheading Recommendations at pdf pages 9 and
17 10 of the EAC's closing submission, it states:

18
19 Recommendations:

- 20
21 1. Based on the Board's own consideration of distribution zone
22 constraints in the Net Metering decision earlier this year (EAC
23 Exhibit A), The Board should consider distribution zone
24 availability and capacity issues as unresolved, as such, the EAC
25 suggests the following:
26
27 a. As soon as possible, a distribution grid assessment
28 must be provided by NSPI, and the Board should
29 direct NSPI-SO to provide this information in a
30 transparent and accessible manner,
31
32 b. An access guarantee requirement is introduced that
33 NSPI connect a certain MW capacity of COMFIT
34 projects by 2015, with grid upgrade costs following
35 on NSPI,

- 1
- 2 2. These issues of grid access must be shown to be resolved by
- 3 Government and NSPI through the implementation of, for
- 4 example, a transparent and accessible grid information resource,
- 5 perhaps in the form of perhaps an Online Mapping Tool showing
- 6 the available distribution zone capacity levels throughout the
- 7 Province of Nova Scotia (as has been done in other successful FIT
- 8 programs in other jurisdictions)
- 9
- 10 3. This should be required before a rate is set for COMFIT in the
- 11 province of Nova Scotia to boost investor confidence, invigorate
- 12 community stakeholders renewable development interest and
- 13 support collaborative and technologically suitable COMFIT
- 14 projects.
- 15
- 16 4. Such a level of informational transparency will support investor
- 17 confidence and thus investment through CEDIFs and other equity
- 18 raising and debt-lending mechanisms that are absolutely required
- 19 to financially support eligible stakeholders in cost-effectively
- 20 developing COMFIT projects in Nova Scotia, as was intended to
- 21 be the case through the COMFIT policy and amendments to the
- 22 Electricity Act.¹
- 23

24 EAC's reference to the distribution capacity issue in the net metering decision is to the

25 UARB's decision to decline Nova Scotia Power's request for an initial 20 MW capacity

26 limit on the enhanced net metering program proposed by the Company. As noted by the

27 Chair in the COMFIT hearing², the reason for the requested 20 MW capacity limit was

28 not because of a capacity constraint issue (as was suggested by EAC and the Nova Scotia

29 Sustainable Electricity Alliance through their joint pre-filed evidence and cross

30 examinations) but rather because of a desire to have a trigger for a review process to

31 review the impact of uptake on the program on costs to other customers. The Board

32 determined that it did not need to impose a 20 MW limitation to trigger such a review as

33 the Company can initiate a request for a review of these issues at any time.

34

¹ Ecology Action Centre Closing Submission, April 28, 2011, pdf pages 9 and 10.

² COMFIT Hearing Transcript, NSUARB BRD-E-R.10April 5, 2011, pages 620-621, lines 15-22 and lines 1-4.

COMFIT Reply Submission

1 NSPI provided evidence in the enhanced net metering proceeding in answer to the
2 specific question of why it was proposing a 20 MW limitation in HRWC IR-4. The
3 answer as filed is reproduced below:

4
5 The 20 MW cap is intended as a more suitable replacement of the current
6 cap as set at 0.5 percent of NSPI's historical peak demand. It represents a
7 near 100 percent increase in the enrolment limit. Its purpose remains
8 essentially the same. It is intended as a regulatory mechanism that will
9 trigger a review of the cost implications of the net metering service on the
10 utility and non-participating customers when service uptake approaches
11 the established enrolment limit.

12
13 The selection of 20 MW is motivated by the perceived needs of two
14 distinct customer classes. Class 1 Net Metering Service, designed to cater
15 to the needs of the currently eligible customers, is allocated a 5 MW limit
16 which is approximately ten times the current enrolment level. Class 2,
17 designed to serve larger applications not eligible under the current service
18 offering, is allocated a 3 times higher limit of 15 MW in reflection of the
19 anticipated better economics of these larger applications.³
20

21 There is no evidence before the Board in the COMFIT proceeding, nor was there in the
22 enhanced net metering proceeding, to suggest that the government's statements about the
23 overall capacity of Nova Scotia Power's distribution system, as provided in the
24 Renewable Electricity Plan, are unreasonable. Nova Scotia Power is committed to
25 working with the government and potential developers under the COMFIT towards
26 meeting the government's intentions for the overall size of the COMFIT program.

27
28 The Nova Scotia Power System Operator has been working directly with potential
29 COMFIT developers to provide them with timely, transparent and current information
30 about the distribution system in relation to their specific intended projects, and with
31 consideration to the size and type of generator and intended location. It will continue to
32 do so. There is no evidence before the Board indicating any issues with this process
33 which could support EAC's recommendation in this regard and the Board should decline
34 to accept this recommendation.

³ Proposed Enhancements to Regulation 3.6 – Net Metering; NSUARB P-188, NSPI(HRWC) IR-4.

COMFIT Reply Submission

1
2 Further, EAC recommends that the UARB direct Nova Scotia Power to be mandated to
3 connect a specific (though unstated) level of MW of COMFIT projects by 2015. As a
4 part of this request, EAC appears to request a directive that Nova Scotia Power incur
5 capital costs on behalf of all customers to upgrade the distribution grid to accommodate
6 such a requirement. With respect, this request falls outside of the authority of the UARB.
7 Pursuant to its obligations under the *Electricity Act*, NSPI will connect eligible COMFIT
8 projects and pay them the Board-approved tariff. NSPI already has a RES requirement
9 for 2015 and expects COMFIT electricity to play a role in meeting that requirement. This
10 proceeding is established to set tariffs for community renewable energy only. There is no
11 evidence before the Board that would support EAC's recommendation in this regard and
12 the Board should decline to accept this recommendation.

13
14 Nova Scotia Power appreciates the opportunity to participate in this proceeding and looks
15 forward to the Board's decision and the establishment of the tariffs.