
Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board

IN THE MATTER OF *The Public Utilities Act*, R.S.N.S. 1989, c.380, as amended.

-and-

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION by EfficiencyOne for Approval of a Supply Agreement for Electricity Efficiency and Conservation Activities between EfficiencyOne and Nova Scotia Power Inc., the establishment of a final agreement between the parties and approval of a 2016-2018 Demand Side Management (“DSM”) Plan (M06733).

2016-2018 DSM Plan

NS Power Cost Allocation Proposal

October 30, 2015

**2016-2018 DSM Cost Allocation Proposal
Non-Confidential**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1			
2			
3	1.0	INTRODUCTION	3
4	2.0	BACKGROUND	5
5	3.0	NS POWER’S POSITION.....	6
6	4.0	COST ALLOCATION PROPOSAL	8
7	4.1	DSM net contract price 2016-2018.....	8
8	4.2	Balance Adjustments 2010 & 2014	9
9	4.3	Cost allocation of 2016-2018.....	9
10	4.4	True-up.....	10
11	4.5	Rate Smoothing Adjustment.....	12
12	5.0	CONCLUSION.....	12
13			

2016-2018 DSM Cost Allocation Proposal
Non-Confidential

1.0 INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the Consensus Agreement dated June 16, 2015 (Consensus Agreement) signed by EfficiencyOne (E1), Nova Scotia Power Inc. (NS Power or Company), Consumer Advocate, Small Business Advocate, Industrial Group, Affordable Energy Coalition and Ecology Action Centre (collectively, the parties), as approved by the Nova Scotia Utility Review Board (Board) in its decision dated August 12, 2015 (M06733), the parties agreed to refer the issue of cost allocation methodology to the Demand Side Management Advisory Group (DSM Advisory Group). Specifically, Section 4.0 of the Consensus Agreement¹ provides as follows:

- a) The Parties agree to collaboratively work to develop new DSM cost allocation and DSM cost recovery models to be submitted by October 31, 2015 for approval or Decision by the Board, or within a reasonable period of time in accordance with the Board's regulatory schedule. This engagement will include the following items:
 - i) 2015 DSM cost allocation;
 - ii) 2016-2018 DSM cost allocation;
 - iii) 2014 rate-smoothing adjustment ("RSA"); and
 - iv) Mid-course adjustments.
- b) For clarity, this clause in no way restricts Nova Scotia Power's discretion in making application to the UARB regarding the accounting treatment and recovery mechanism of DSM costs.

Cost allocation sets the methodology to determine and track the amount of demand side management (DSM) costs to be allocated among rate classes. NS Power has worked collectively with the members of the DSM Advisory Group on the issue of DSM cost allocation. The proposal sets out NS Power's position and reflects input received by the Company to date from the DSM Advisory Group. Although a consensus was not reached

¹ 2016-2018 DSM Plan Consensus Agreement (Schedule B), M06733, Approved October 7, 2015, page 6.

2016-2018 DSM Cost Allocation Proposal
Non-Confidential

1 on the precise terms of this proposal², the Company did not receive objections from any
2 members of the DSM Advisory Group with respect to the general cost allocation
3 framework proposed herein.

4
5 NS Power notes that DSM cost recovery is a separate matter distinct from cost allocation
6 and is therefore not within the scope of this proposal. NS Power will file its proposed
7 accounting treatment and cost recovery for 2015 DSM programs and 2016-18 DSM
8 programs by November 30, 2015 in accordance with the Board's Order dated October 7,
9 2015. NS Power acknowledges that there are certain cost allocation items that will be
10 impacted by proposed accounting treatment and cost recovery, such as the true-up for
11 past imbalances between customer classes, resulting from variances in forecast and actual
12 DSM amounts as well as possible trade-offs between DSM related and non-related costs
13 (e.g. FAM balance in 2015). The Company intends to address these issues in its
14 November 30, 2015 filing.

² For example, the DSM Advisory Group has not reached a consensus on the cost allocation of enabling strategies.

2016-2018 DSM Cost Allocation Proposal
Non-Confidential

2.0 BACKGROUND

Prior to the recent amendments to the *Public Utilities Act* (Act), the cost of DSM was recovered through a DSM Cost Recovery Rate Rider (DCRR) on the customer's electricity bills.

NS Power ceased collecting DSM costs through the DCRR at the end of 2014. Administration of DSM activities was also moved from Efficiency Nova Scotia Corporation to E1, who was granted the first franchise under the Act to provide DSM activities within the province of Nova Scotia.

The process for cost allocation is set out in Section 79S³ of the Act:

79 (S) The Board may, when approving an application made pursuant to Section 79L or 79Q, determine the manner in which any costs recoverable by Nova Scotia Power Incorporated from its customers must be allocated amongst Nova Scotia Power Incorporated's customers, and in doing so may, in addition to any other factors considered appropriate by the Board, take into account the Rate Smoothing Adjustment approved by the Board in its order dated January 29, 2014.

The current cost allocation methodology was approved by the Board in its 2010 DSM Plan and 2010 DSM Rider Decision⁴ wherein the Board provided as follows:

The Board has considered the SA and evidence filed in the hearing. The Board accepts the proposed method of DSM cost allocation, 25% system cost and 75% participant cost, to be a fair and reasonable method to recover DSM costs. The benefits received by customers varies based on the level of participation by each customer class. It is reasonable and fair that the DSM costs be partially based and shared on the benefit received by the customers.

³ Public Utilities Act (2014), R.S.N.S. c. 380, 2014.

⁴ NSUARB-NSPI-P-884(2), August 4, 2009, paragraph 66.

2016-2018 DSM Cost Allocation Proposal
Non-Confidential

3.0 NS POWER'S POSITION

The current 25/75 per cent split between system cost and participant cost was agreed to by stakeholders to the 2009 Settlement Agreement. It appropriately recognizes that rate class benefits from DSM programs are higher if the class participates in the program. The direct assignment of 75 per cent of DSM expenses to those classes who caused them aligns with a cost of service principle that to the extent possible, and correct to do so, costs should be assigned to rate classes directly⁵. To the extent there are external benefits that accrue to non-participating classes they should pay for the costs of these benefits, too. Since the nature of DSM programs is such that they primarily benefit participating customers through reduced energy bills, logic dictates that directly assigned costs should represent the majority of the total DSM spent. The current methodology assigns 75 per cent of costs to the participating classes.

NS Power recommends maintaining the current cost allocation methodology but proposes changes to the cost allocation methodology for Enabling Strategies that would limit the need for tracking of Enabling Strategies by rate class. Specifically, the Company proposes that Enabling Strategies be allocated along the same 25/75 per cent split, except in the following two cases:

- (i) where the benefit of a particular Enabling Strategy clearly benefits a rate class(es) and the cost exceeds \$100,000 CDN, the costs shall be fully allocated to that rate class (for example, the Small Business study currently contemplated in E1's 2016 plan will be allocated to the SBA if the cost of such study exceeds \$100,000); and,

⁵ NS Power Cost of Service 2013, M05473, AVON (NSPI) IR-18.

2016-2018 DSM Cost Allocation Proposal
Non-Confidential

1 (ii) where the cost of a particular Enabling Strategy can be attributed to a particular
2 rate class(es) based on historical average(s), up to 2014, such cost will be
3 allocated to that rate class(es) without ongoing tracking.

**2016-2018 DSM Cost Allocation Proposal
Non-Confidential**

4.0 COST ALLOCATION PROPOSAL

NS Power proposes the following DSM cost allocation treatment.

4.1 DSM net contract price 2016-2018

In its Decision⁶ to approve the 2016-18 DSM Plan, the Board stated as follows:

The Board approves a DSM Plan for 2016-2018 in the aggregate amount of \$102,150,000. Approved spending is \$33,210,000 during 2016; \$34,020,000 during 2017; and \$34,920,000 in 2018.

The net contract price for 2016-2018 will not exceed \$93,631,970, as provided in Schedule B of the Supply Agreement approved by the Board in its Order dated October 7, 2015,⁷ and summarized below. Costs will be initially allocated in accordance with E1's 2016 DSM budget and plan.

	2016	2017 ⁸	2018 ⁹	Total
UARB Approved	\$33,210,000	\$34,020,000	\$34,920,000	\$102,150,000
Balance Adjustment ¹⁰	(\$8,518,030)	Nil	Nil	(\$8,518,030)
Net Contract Price to be Paid by NS Power ¹¹	\$24,691,970	\$34,020,000	\$34,920,000	\$93,631,970

⁶ 2016-2018 DSM Plan, UARB Decision 2015 NSUARB 204, M06733, August 12, 2015, page 1.

⁷ 2016-2018 DSM Plan, UARB Order 2015 NSUARB 204, M06733, October 7, 2015.

⁸ The 2017 payments owing by NS Power will be reduced to reflect any 2015 under-spending by E1, if any, against the 2015 UARB-approved DSM Resource Plan, together with any 2014 Balance Adjustment interest earned in 2015.

⁹ The 2018 payments owing by NS Power will be reduced to reflect any Balance Adjustment interest earned in 2016.

¹⁰ This is the 2014 surplus of DSM funds in the amount of \$8,518,030 that is to be returned by E1 in accordance with the UARB-approved cost-allocation methodology. The return of this is to be accounted for through a reduction in the payment of the 2016 portion of the contract price.

¹¹ The portion of contract price approved by the Board for 2016 was reduced by the amount of the Balance Adjustment. As such, the amount to be paid by NS Power to E1 in 2016 shall not exceed \$24,691,970 and the total amount to be paid by NS Power over the term of the Supply Agreement shall not exceed \$93,631,970.

2016-2018 DSM Cost Allocation Proposal
Non-Confidential

4.2 Balance Adjustments 2010 & 2014

Efficiency Nova Scotia's financial statement for the year ending December 31, 2014 shows the following balance adjustments¹²:

12. EXTERNALLY RESTRICTED FUND BALANCES

DSM Fund Balance

	Opening Balance	2014 Fund Activity	2014 Closing Balance
Balance Adjustment 2010	\$ 75,636	\$ -	\$ 75,636
Balance Adjustment 2012	(6,128,466)	6,128,466	-
Balance Adjustment 2013	3,976,398	-	3,976,398
Balance Adjustment 2014	-	8,442,394	8,442,394
HST	12,523,662	1,599,545	14,123,207
Capital Asset Acquisitions	(915,219)	-	(915,219)
Capital Asset Amortization	477,978	206,813	684,791
Closing DSM Fund Balance	\$ 10,009,989	\$ 16,377,218	\$ 26,387,207

The 2010 Balance Adjustment of \$75,636 and 2014 Balance Adjustment of \$8,442,394 are applied to the 2016 cost allocation through a reduction in the payment of the 2016 portion of the contract price by \$8,518,030.

4.3 Cost allocation of 2016-2018

Please refer to **Appendix A** for allocation of DSM Program costs from years 2016 to 2018 among rate classes using the current costing methodology. The calculations were based on a DSM cost allocation model provided by E1 to the Company following the submission of E1's Compliance Filing dated September 15, 2015 (and which reflected

¹² Efficiency Nova Scotia Financial Statement for the year ending December 31, 2014, issued March 27, 2015, page 14.

2016-2018 DSM Cost Allocation Proposal
Non-Confidential

1 the approved DSM spending of \$102, 500 for 2016-2018). The model was subsequently
2 updated by NS Power with its own usage statistics.

3
4 **4.4 True-up**

5
6 NS Power proposes to true-up, at the rate class level, amounts collected from customers
7 relative to the actual DSM expenditures in the class and class load. The actual
8 expenditure on a rate class by E1 in a given year, may vary from the DSM programming
9 associated with the amount of the Board approved contract price paid to E1. DSM funds
10 collected by NS Power from customer classes will also vary based on actual load. A
11 true-up mechanism is required to track and allocate DSM costs to ensure that customers
12 pay for the DSM they receive at the rate class level.

13
14 Further details concerning the true-up process will be provided in the Company's
15 scheduled filing on November 30, 2015 on cost recovery. The principle of the true-up is
16 the same as the Fuel Adjustment Mechanism Balance Adjustment. Variations in load and
17 DSM programming from forecast require that actual volumes be reconciled against the
18 forecast. NS Power proposes the following schedule:

- 19
20 • E1 to provide NS Power with an estimated total expenditure for the current year
21 by November 30, 2015.
- 22
23 • NS Power will adjust the recovery requirement annually on January 1 of each
24 year of the DSM Supply Agreement to reflect any variation between the forecasts
25 as well as actual load and DSM program mix. This will ensure that only the DSM
26 contract obligation is collected from customers and costs are allocated to
27 customer classes based on the actual DSM programming they received.
- 28

2016-2018 DSM Cost Allocation Proposal
Non-Confidential

- 1 • In Q2 of each year (after E1 has the final financial results for the prior year), the
2 adjustment amount can be determined and will be applied in the following
3 January 1 recovery requirement (plus any newly created balances as reported by
4 E1 on or before November 30).

2016-2018 DSM Cost Allocation Proposal
Non-Confidential

4.5 Rate Smoothing Adjustment

NS Power proposes that the inter-class DSM loans incurred in 2014 be repaid over a three year period. The Company will propose an appropriate approach for recovery of these costs as part of its cost recovery filing on November 30, 2015. Please refer to **Appendix B** for details.

5.0 CONCLUSION

NS Power respectfully requests the Board approve the Company's DSM cost allocation proposal. The proposal was prepared in accordance with the terms of the Consensus Agreement and reflects input received from the DSM Advisory Group.

Table 1: 2016 PCR - Preliminary allocation of 25% of 2016 program costs associated with system benefits

COLUMN	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
	Program Cost Recovery by Benefits							
	System Benefits	25%	\$8,302,500					
	Combined Class and Participant Benefits	75%	\$24,907,500					
	Total ¹	100%	\$33,210,000					
	Functionalization of system Benefit DSM Costs			Classification of System Benefit DSM Costs				
		Factors		Factors ²				
	Generation		100%	Demand-related 36.61%				
	Transmission		0%	Energy-related 63.39%				
	Distribution		0%	Total 100.00%				
Retail		0%						
	Demand-Related Costs			Energy-Related Costs			Total	
Rate Class	3 CP kW Demands ³	Relative Share	(\$)	MWh Energy Requirement ⁴	Relative Share	(\$)	Relative Share	Total Amount (\$)
Residential ⁵	3,644,446	61.1%	\$1,858,457	4,919,194	48.6%	\$2,559,706	53.2%	\$4,418,163
Small General	142,921	2.4%	\$72,882	270,147	2.7%	\$140,571	2.6%	\$213,453
General Demand	1,244,244	20.9%	\$634,493	2,671,982	26.4%	\$1,390,368	24.4%	\$2,024,861
Large General	167,018	2.8%	\$85,170	430,902	4.3%	\$224,220	3.7%	\$309,390
Small Industrial	110,359	1.9%	\$56,277	267,261	2.6%	\$139,069	2.4%	\$195,346
Medium Industrial	188,083	3.2%	\$95,911	497,263	4.9%	\$258,751	4.3%	\$354,662
Large Industrial	245,023	4.1%	\$124,948	723,221	7.2%	\$376,328	6.0%	\$501,276
Municipal	112,340	1.9%	\$57,287	190,519	1.9%	\$99,137	1.9%	\$156,424
Unmetered	68,809	1.2%	\$35,089	118,905	1.2%	\$61,873	1.2%	\$96,961
Gen. Repl. / Load Foll.	36,738	0.6%	\$18,734	10,094	0.1%	\$5,252	0.3%	\$23,987
1P-RTP	-	0.0%	\$0	12,270	0.1%	\$6,385	0.1%	\$6,385
Shore Power	-	0.0%	\$0	3,061	0.0%	\$1,593	0.0%	\$1,593
Total	5,959,982	100.0%	\$3,039,246	10,114,819	100.0%	\$5,263,254	100.0%	\$8,302,500
Classification Breakdown		36.61%			63.39%			100.0%

Numbers may not add due to rounding

¹ Source: EfficiencyOne 2016-2018 DSM Resource Plan² Source: Source: "2016 Base Cost of Fuel Calculations" as used in Appendix E "Revenue Analysis" provided in 2016 BCF Reply Evidence.³ Source: "2016 Base Cost of Fuel Calculations" as used in Appendix E "Revenue Analysis" provided in 2016 BCF Reply Evidence.⁴ Source: "2016 Base Cost of Fuel Calculations" as used in Appendix E "Revenue Analysis" provided in 2016 BCF Reply Evidence.⁵ All residential rate classes use the same unit fixed cost estimate

Line #

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Table 2: 2016 PCR - Preliminary allocation of 75% of 2016 DSM Program Costs associated with benefits realized by participating classes

COLUMN	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
FORMULA										Σ col A to I	J * 75%
Program	Existing Residential	New Residential	Efficient Product Rebates (Residential)	Efficient Product Rebates (BNI)	Custom Incentives	Direct Installation	Education and Outreach	Development and Research	Other Enabling Strategies	All Program Costs Combined	Program Costs Directly Assigned to Participating rate classes (75% of the total)
Rate Class											
Residential	\$9,169,224	\$1,190,261	\$3,131,294	\$1,841,293	\$63,832	\$491,685	\$930,784	\$524,024	\$260,141	\$17,602,539	\$13,201,904
Small General	\$34,459	\$0	\$4,947	\$554,674	\$127,665	\$851,215	\$65,167	\$58,319	\$41,185	\$1,737,632	\$1,303,224
General Demand	\$116,944	\$0	\$8,654	\$2,476,277	\$3,044,579	\$2,152,455	\$245,494	\$222,268	\$136,800	\$8,403,471	\$6,302,603
Large General	\$5,093	\$0	\$415	\$382,285	\$1,315,384	\$41,018	\$39,705	\$37,656	\$29,259	\$1,850,815	\$1,388,111
Small Industrial	\$0	\$0	\$1,546	\$144,223	\$343,591	\$160,207	\$16,486	\$30,192	\$9,125	\$705,370	\$529,027
Medium Industrial	\$0	\$0	\$493	\$167,915	\$596,056	\$13,871	\$20,848	\$20,804	\$11,590	\$831,578	\$623,683
Large Industrial	\$0	\$0	\$518	\$234,089	\$1,171,538	\$4,663	\$27,925	\$26,976	\$15,747	\$1,481,456	\$1,111,092
Municipal	\$140,769	\$37,986	\$47,572	\$79,198	\$127,665	\$79,469	\$28,847	\$24,814	\$11,374	\$577,693	\$433,269
Unmetered	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,639	\$0	\$0	\$3,333	\$2,727	\$1,749	\$19,447	\$14,585
Gen. Repl. / Load Foll.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1P-RTP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Shore Power	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$9,466,490	\$1,228,247	\$3,195,440	\$5,891,592	\$6,790,309	\$3,794,585	\$1,378,588	\$947,779	\$516,971	\$33,210,000	\$24,907,500

COLUMN	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
--------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

[illegible]

Table 4: 2016 PCR - Preliminary allocation of 2016 program costs among rate classes

COLUMN	A	B	C	D	E	F
FORMULA	Table 1 Column H	Table 2 Column K	A + C			
	System Benefit Costs (25% of the total expenditure allocated to classes using COS methodology)		Participating Class Benefit Costs (75% of the total expenditure directly assigned to participating classes)		2016 PCR	
	(\$)	Relative Share	(\$)	Relative Share	(\$)	Relative Share
Rate Class						
Residential	\$4,418,163	53.2%	\$13,201,904	53.0%	\$17,620,067	53.1%
Small General	\$213,453	2.6%	\$1,303,224	5.2%	\$1,516,677	4.6%
General Demand	\$2,024,861	24.4%	\$6,302,603	25.3%	\$8,327,463	25.1%
Large General	\$309,390	3.7%	\$1,388,111	5.6%	\$1,697,501	5.1%
Small Industrial	\$195,346	2.4%	\$529,027	2.1%	\$724,374	2.2%
Medium Industrial	\$354,662	4.3%	\$623,683	2.5%	\$978,345	2.9%
Large Industrial	\$501,276	6.0%	\$1,111,092	4.5%	\$1,612,368	4.9%
Municipal	\$156,424	1.9%	\$433,269	1.7%	\$589,693	1.8%
Unmetered	\$96,961	1.2%	\$14,585	0.1%	\$111,547	0.3%
Gen. Repl. / Load Foll.	\$23,987	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	\$23,987	0.1%
1P-RTP	\$6,385	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	\$6,385	0.0%
Shore Power	\$1,593	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$1,593	0.0%
Total	\$8,302,500	100.0%	\$24,907,500	100.0%	\$33,210,000	100.0%

Numbers may not add due to rounding

Table 1: 2017 PCR - Preliminary allocation of 25% of 2017 program costs associated with system benefits

COLUMN	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H																																				
Program Cost Recovery by Benefits <table><tr><td>System Benefits</td><td>25%</td><td>\$8,505,000</td></tr><tr><td>Combined Class and Participant Benefits</td><td>75%</td><td>\$25,515,000</td></tr><tr><td>Total¹</td><td>100%</td><td>\$34,020,000</td></tr></table> Functionalization of system Benefit DSM Costs <table><tr><td></td><td>Factors</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Generation</td><td></td><td>100%</td></tr><tr><td>Transmission</td><td></td><td>0%</td></tr><tr><td>Distribution</td><td></td><td>0%</td></tr><tr><td>Retail</td><td></td><td>0%</td></tr></table> Classification of System Benefit DSM Costs <table><tr><td></td><td>Factors²</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Demand-related</td><td></td><td>36.95%</td></tr><tr><td>Energy-related</td><td></td><td>63.05%</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td></td><td>100.00%</td></tr></table>									System Benefits	25%	\$8,505,000	Combined Class and Participant Benefits	75%	\$25,515,000	Total ¹	100%	\$34,020,000		Factors		Generation		100%	Transmission		0%	Distribution		0%	Retail		0%		Factors ²		Demand-related		36.95%	Energy-related		63.05%	Total		100.00%
System Benefits	25%	\$8,505,000																																										
Combined Class and Participant Benefits	75%	\$25,515,000																																										
Total ¹	100%	\$34,020,000																																										
	Factors																																											
Generation		100%																																										
Transmission		0%																																										
Distribution		0%																																										
Retail		0%																																										
	Factors ²																																											
Demand-related		36.95%																																										
Energy-related		63.05%																																										
Total		100.00%																																										
	Demand-Related Costs			Energy-Related Costs			Total																																					
Rate Class	3 CP kW Demands ³	Relative Share	(\$)	MWh Energy Requirement ⁴	Relative Share	(\$)	Relative Share	Total Amount (\$)																																				
Residential ⁵	3,708,999	61.5%	\$1,932,097	4,991,365	48.9%	\$2,624,692	53.6%	\$4,556,789																																				
Small General	139,481	2.3%	\$72,658	264,187	2.6%	\$138,922	2.5%	\$211,580																																				
General Demand	1,251,854	20.8%	\$652,117	2,685,304	26.3%	\$1,412,058	24.3%	\$2,064,175																																				
Large General	165,117	2.7%	\$86,013	425,367	4.2%	\$223,678	3.6%	\$309,691																																				
Small Industrial	110,000	1.8%	\$57,301	266,435	2.6%	\$140,104	2.3%	\$197,405																																				
Medium Industrial	192,428	3.2%	\$100,240	507,519	5.0%	\$266,877	4.3%	\$367,117																																				
Large Industrial	244,401	4.1%	\$127,314	719,944	7.1%	\$378,580	5.9%	\$505,893																																				
Municipal	114,176	1.9%	\$59,477	192,256	1.9%	\$101,097	1.9%	\$160,574																																				
Unmetered	69,099	1.1%	\$35,995	119,243	1.2%	\$62,703	1.2%	\$98,699																																				
Gen. Repl. / Load Foll.	36,738	0.6%	\$19,138	10,094	0.1%	\$5,308	0.3%	\$24,446																																				
1P-RTP	-	0.0%	\$0	12,333	0.1%	\$6,485	0.1%	\$6,485																																				
Shore Power	-	0.0%	\$0	4,082	0.0%	\$2,146	0.0%	\$2,146																																				
Total	6,032,292	100.0%	\$3,142,350	10,198,127	100.0%	\$5,362,650	100.0%	\$8,505,000																																				
Classification Breakdown		36.95%			63.05%			100.0%																																				

Numbers may not add due to rounding

¹ Source: EfficiencyOne 2016-2018 DSM Resource Plan² Source: Source: "2016 Base Cost of Fuel Calculations" as used in Appendix E "Revenue Analysis" provided in 2016 BCF Reply Evidence.³ Source: "2016 Base Cost of Fuel Calculations" as used in Appendix E "Revenue Analysis" provided in 2016 BCF Reply Evidence.⁴ Source: "2016 Base Cost of Fuel Calculations" as used in Appendix E "Revenue Analysis" provided in 2016 BCF Reply Evidence.⁵ All residential rate classes use the same unit fixed cost estimate

Line #

Table 2: 2017 PCR - Preliminary allocation of 75% of 2017 DSM Program Costs associated with benefits realized by participating classes

1
2
3
4**COLUMN
FORMULA****A****B****C****D****E****F****G****H****I** Σ col A to I**K**

J * 75%

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

Program	Existing Residential	New Residential	Efficient Product Rebates (Residential)	Efficient Product Rebates (BNI)	Custom Incentives	Direct Installation	Education and Outreach	Development and Research	Other Enabling Strategies	All Program Costs Combined	Program Costs Directly Assigned to Participating rate classes (75% of the total)
Rate Class											
Residential	\$10,290,188	\$1,240,857	\$3,244,714	\$1,766,419	\$61,031	\$469,231	\$968,906	\$560,072	\$297,344	\$18,898,763	\$14,174,072
Small General	\$38,672	\$0	\$5,126	\$532,119	\$122,062	\$812,342	\$67,836	\$62,331	\$47,075	\$1,687,564	\$1,265,673
General Demand	\$131,241	\$0	\$8,967	\$2,375,583	\$2,910,969	\$2,054,157	\$255,549	\$237,557	\$156,364	\$8,130,387	\$6,097,790
Large General	\$5,716	\$0	\$430	\$366,740	\$1,257,659	\$39,145	\$41,331	\$40,247	\$33,444	\$1,784,710	\$1,338,533
Small Industrial	\$0	\$0	\$1,602	\$138,358	\$328,513	\$152,891	\$17,161	\$32,269	\$10,430	\$681,224	\$510,918
Medium Industrial	\$0	\$0	\$511	\$161,087	\$569,898	\$13,238	\$21,702	\$22,235	\$13,248	\$801,919	\$601,439
Large Industrial	\$0	\$0	\$537	\$224,570	\$1,120,126	\$4,450	\$29,069	\$28,832	\$17,999	\$1,425,582	\$1,069,187
Municipal	\$157,978	\$39,601	\$49,295	\$75,978	\$122,062	\$75,840	\$30,028	\$26,520	\$13,000	\$590,303	\$442,727
Unmetered	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,165	\$0	\$0	\$3,470	\$2,914	\$1,999	\$19,548	\$14,661
Gen. Repl. / Load Foll.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1P-RTP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Shore Power	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$10,623,795	\$1,280,457	\$3,311,183	\$5,652,019	\$6,492,319	\$3,621,294	\$1,435,051	\$1,012,977	\$590,903	\$34,020,000	\$25,515,000

COLUMN	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
--------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

[illegible]

Table 4: 2017 PCR - Preliminary allocation of 2017 program costs among rate classes

COLUMN	A	B	C	D	E	F
FORMULA	Table 1 Column H	Table 2 Column K	A + C			
	System Benefit Costs (25% of the total expenditure allocated to classes using COS methodology)		Participating Class Benefit Costs (75% of the total expenditure directly assigned to participating classes)		2017 PCR	
	(\$)	Relative Share	(\$)	Relative Share	(\$)	Relative Share
Rate Class						
Residential	\$4,556,789	53.6%	\$14,174,072	55.6%	\$18,730,861	55.1%
Small General	\$211,580	2.5%	\$1,265,673	5.0%	\$1,477,254	4.3%
General Demand	\$2,064,175	24.3%	\$6,097,790	23.9%	\$8,161,966	24.0%
Large General	\$309,691	3.6%	\$1,338,533	5.2%	\$1,648,224	4.8%
Small Industrial	\$197,405	2.3%	\$510,918	2.0%	\$708,323	2.1%
Medium Industrial	\$367,117	4.3%	\$601,439	2.4%	\$968,556	2.8%
Large Industrial	\$505,893	5.9%	\$1,069,187	4.2%	\$1,575,080	4.6%
Municipal	\$160,574	1.9%	\$442,727	1.7%	\$603,301	1.8%
Unmetered	\$98,699	1.2%	\$14,661	0.1%	\$113,360	0.3%
Gen. Repl. / Load Foll.	\$24,446	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	\$24,446	0.1%
1P-RTP	\$6,485	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	\$6,485	0.0%
Shore Power	\$2,146	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$2,146	0.0%
Total	\$8,505,000	100.0%	\$25,515,000	100.0%	\$34,020,000	100.0%

Numbers may not add due to rounding

Table 1: 2018 PCR - Preliminary allocation of 25% of 2018 program costs associated with system benefits

COLUMN	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	
	Program Cost Recovery by Benefits								
	System Benefits	25%	\$8,730,000						
	Combined Class and Participant Benefits	75%	\$26,190,000						
	Total ¹	100%	\$34,920,000						
	Functionalization of system Benefit DSM Costs			Classification of System Benefit DSM Costs					
		Factors		Factors ²					
	Generation		100%	Demand-related 37.12%					
	Transmission		0%	Energy-related 62.88%					
	Distribution		0%	Total 100.00%					
Retail		0%							
	Demand-Related Costs			Energy-Related Costs			Total		
	Rate Class	3 CP kW Demands ³	Relative Share	(\$)	MWh Energy Requirement ⁴	Relative Share	(\$)	Relative Share	Total Amount (\$)
	Residential ⁵	3,738,583	61.8%	\$2,003,770	5,014,979	49.3%	\$2,703,731	53.9%	\$4,707,501
	Small General	136,080	2.3%	\$72,935	258,249	2.5%	\$139,230	2.4%	\$212,165
	General Demand	1,238,864	20.5%	\$663,995	2,651,572	26.0%	\$1,429,545	24.0%	\$2,093,539
	Large General	163,231	2.7%	\$87,487	419,839	4.1%	\$226,348	3.6%	\$313,836
	Small Industrial	109,337	1.8%	\$58,601	264,849	2.6%	\$142,789	2.3%	\$201,390
	Medium Industrial	196,610	3.3%	\$105,377	517,318	5.1%	\$278,902	4.4%	\$384,279
	Large Industrial	243,783	4.0%	\$130,661	716,631	7.0%	\$386,358	5.9%	\$517,018
	Municipal	114,622	1.9%	\$61,434	192,333	1.9%	\$103,693	1.9%	\$165,127
	Unmetered	68,487	1.1%	\$36,707	117,515	1.2%	\$63,356	1.1%	\$100,063
	Gen. Repl. / Load Foll.	36,738	0.6%	\$19,691	10,094	0.1%	\$5,442	0.3%	\$25,132
	1P-RTP	-	0.0%	\$0	12,333	0.1%	\$6,649	0.1%	\$6,649
	Shore Power	-	0.0%	\$0	6,122	0.1%	\$3,301	0.0%	\$3,301
	Total	6,046,335	100.0%	\$3,240,657	10,181,834	100.0%	\$5,489,343	100.0%	\$8,730,000
	Classification Breakdown		37.12%			62.88%			100.0%

Numbers may not add due to rounding

¹ Source: EfficiencyOne 2016-2018 DSM Resource Plan² Source: Source: "2016 Base Cost of Fuel Calculations" as used in Appendix E "Revenue Analysis" provided in 2016 BCF Reply Evidence.³ Source: "2016 Base Cost of Fuel Calculations" as used in Appendix E "Revenue Analysis" provided in 2016 BCF Reply Evidence.⁴ Source: "2016 Base Cost of Fuel Calculations" as used in Appendix E "Revenue Analysis" provided in 2016 BCF Reply Evidence.⁵ All residential rate classes use the same unit fixed cost estimate

Line #

Table 2: 2018 PCR - Preliminary allocation of 75% of 2018 DSM Program Costs associated with benefits realized by participating classes1
2
3
4**COLUMN
FORMULA****A****B****C****D****E****F****G****H****I****J****K** Σ col A to J

K * 75%

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

Program	Existing Residential	New Residential	Efficient Product Rebates (Residential)	Efficient Product Rebates (BNI)	Custom Incentives	Direct Installation	Education and Outreach	Development and Research	Other Enabling Strategies	All Program Costs Combined	Program Costs Directly Assigned to Participating rate classes (75% of the total)
Rate Class											
Residential	\$10,752,008	\$1,279,244	\$3,351,524	\$1,778,384	\$61,012	\$469,088	\$996,045	\$589,087	\$371,174	\$19,647,567	\$14,735,675
Small General	\$40,408	\$0	\$5,295	\$535,723	\$122,025	\$812,095	\$69,736	\$65,560	\$58,764	\$1,709,606	\$1,282,205
General Demand	\$137,131	\$0	\$9,263	\$2,391,673	\$2,910,083	\$2,053,532	\$262,706	\$249,864	\$195,189	\$8,209,441	\$6,157,081
Large General	\$5,973	\$0	\$444	\$369,224	\$1,257,276	\$39,133	\$42,489	\$42,332	\$41,747	\$1,798,617	\$1,348,963
Small Industrial	\$0	\$0	\$1,655	\$139,295	\$328,413	\$152,845	\$17,641	\$33,940	\$13,019	\$686,809	\$515,107
Medium Industrial	\$0	\$0	\$528	\$162,178	\$569,725	\$13,234	\$22,309	\$23,387	\$16,538	\$807,898	\$605,924
Large Industrial	\$0	\$0	\$555	\$226,091	\$1,119,785	\$4,449	\$29,883	\$30,325	\$22,468	\$1,433,556	\$1,075,167
Municipal	\$165,068	\$40,826	\$50,918	\$76,492	\$122,025	\$75,817	\$30,869	\$27,894	\$16,228	\$606,138	\$454,603
Unmetered	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,241	\$0	\$0	\$3,567	\$3,065	\$2,495	\$20,368	\$15,276
Gen. Repl. / Load Foll.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1P-RTP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Shore Power	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$11,100,588	\$1,320,070	\$3,420,181	\$5,690,301	\$6,490,344	\$3,620,192	\$1,475,246	\$1,065,456	\$737,623	\$34,920,000	\$26,190,000

Table 3: 2018 PCR - Preliminary estimate of 2018 DSM program participation by rate class (Relative Shares)

[illegible]

Table 4: 2018 PCR - Preliminary allocation of 2018 program costs among rate classes

COLUMN	A	B	C	D	E	F
FORMULA	Table 1 Column H	Table 2 Column K	A + C			
	System Benefit Costs (25% of the total expenditure allocated to classes using COS methodology)		Participating Class Benefit Costs (75% of the total expenditure directly assigned to participating classes)		2018 PCR	
	(\$)	Relative Share	(\$)	Relative Share	(\$)	Relative Share
Rate Class						
Residential	\$4,707,501	53.9%	\$14,735,675	56.3%	\$19,443,176	55.7%
Small General	\$212,165	2.4%	\$1,282,205	4.9%	\$1,494,369	4.3%
General Demand	\$2,093,539	24.0%	\$6,157,081	23.5%	\$8,250,620	23.6%
Large General	\$313,836	3.6%	\$1,348,963	5.2%	\$1,662,798	4.8%
Small Industrial	\$201,390	2.3%	\$515,107	2.0%	\$716,497	2.1%
Medium Industrial	\$384,279	4.4%	\$605,924	2.3%	\$990,203	2.8%
Large Industrial	\$517,018	5.9%	\$1,075,167	4.1%	\$1,592,185	4.6%
Municipal	\$165,127	1.9%	\$454,603	1.7%	\$619,730	1.8%
Unmetered	\$100,063	1.1%	\$15,276	0.1%	\$115,339	0.3%
Gen. Repl. / Load Foll.	\$25,132	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	\$25,132	0.1%
1P-RTP	\$6,649	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	\$6,649	0.0%
Shore Power	<u>\$3,301</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>\$3,301</u>	<u>0.0%</u>
Total	\$8,730,000	100.0%	\$26,190,000	100.0%	\$34,920,000	100.0%

Numbers may not add due to rounding

Column	A	B	C	D	E
Rate Classes	DSM Smoothing Adjustment from 2014	Outstanding Amount at the end of 2015	Repayment of outstanding RSA amounts through the FAM		
			2016	2017	2018
ATL					
Residential	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Small General	(\$640,113)	(\$659,316)	\$233,088	\$233,088	\$233,088
General Demand	\$3,292,613	\$3,391,391	(\$1,198,960)	(\$1,198,960)	(\$1,198,960)
Large General	(\$3,547,727)	(\$3,654,159)	\$1,291,856	\$1,291,856	\$1,291,856
Total Commercial	(\$895,227)	(\$922,084)	\$325,985	\$325,985	\$325,985
Small Industrial	\$547,065	\$563,477	(\$199,206)	(\$199,206)	(\$199,206)
Medium Industrial	\$292,125	\$300,889	(\$106,373)	(\$106,373)	(\$106,373)
Total Large Industrial	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ELI 2PT - RTP*	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Industrial	\$839,190	\$864,366	(\$305,580)	(\$305,580)	(\$305,580)
Municipal	\$0	\$0			
Unmetered	\$56,037	\$57,718	(\$20,405)	(\$20,405)	(\$20,405)
Total Other	\$56,037	\$57,718	(\$20,405)	(\$20,405)	(\$20,405)
Total ATL Classes	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
BTL (Electric)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
GRLF	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Shore Power	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1P-RTP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total BTL (Electric) Classes	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
In Province Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Assumptions
Interest

0.03