

2019 DSM Plan Application, 2017 Annual Progress Report
and 2017 Evaluation Reports (NSUARB M08604)
NSPI Responses to SBA Information Requests

NON-CONFIDENTIAL

Request IR-1:

Regarding the energy and demand savings target for 2019,

(a) Does NSP agree with the overall targets of energy and demand savings targets of 127.2 GWh and 20.2 MW, respectively, from the proposed 2019 DSM Plan? (Source: EfficiencyOne 2019 DSM Plan Filing – Evidence, Page 19 of 23, Line 13)

(b) What are all the impacts to NSP and its customers if the proposed energy and demand savings target are not met?

Response IR-1:

(a) NS Power agrees that the proposed mix of programs should achieve the demand and energy targets listed in the proposed 2019 DSM Plan.

(b) Energy and demand savings are evaluated and verified using engineering estimates through the UARB's established evaluation and verification process. The level of forecasted demand savings, on its own, would not have an immediate impact on NS Power or customers, but would mean that additional demand savings would have to be achieved in the future to contribute towards avoiding the need for additional peaking generators.

The question of not meeting energy savings reduction is more complex. In the short term, reduced electricity use by individual customers (absent associated demand reductions) actually increases costs for other customers due to the reduction in fixed cost recovery and the reallocation of those costs to those other customers. In the longer term, assuming all customers benefit from reduced electricity usage, then the customers overall energy cost should be lower.

2019 DSM Plan Application, 2017 Annual Progress Report
and 2017 Evaluation Reports (NSUARB M08604)
NSPI Responses to SBA Information Requests

PARTIALLY CONFIDENTIAL (Attachment Only)

Request IR-2:

Has NSP developed a procedure on allocating DSM costs on the cost-of-service study?

(a) If yes, please provide the details. And please state whether this procedure was developed prior to the 2019 DSM Plan filing.

(b) If no, please explain why the procedure for DSM cost allocation has not been developed so far.

Response IR-2:

(a-b) NS Power has had a procedure in place for allocating DSM costs in the cost-of-service study since the DSM Cost Recovery Rider (DCRR) was approved for use in 2009. NS Power has proposed to continue to follow this same cost allocation approach to allocate the costs of the DSM contract. Please refer to NS Power's Submission dated December 18, 2015 and NS Power's Reply Submission dated February 23, 2016 in matter M07151/E-R-15.¹

In the Board's decision on that matter, the Board stated:

Regarding true-ups, several parties favoured adjusting any required variances at the end of the DSM contract term. Although the SBA preferred to have variances corrected annually, legislation precludes rate adjustments prior to January 1, 2020. The Board approves NSPI's proposal to annually track comparisons of DSM cost recoveries to DSM expenditures, and then adjusting any required variances during the next GRA.²

¹ Nova Scotia Power Inc. – DSM Cost Allocation and Recovery – M07151/E-R-15, December 18, 2015, pages 5 and 6.

² M07151 – Nova Scotia Power Inc. – DSM Cost Allocation and Recovery (E-R-15), April 11, 2016, page 6.

2019 DSM Plan Application, 2017 Annual Progress Report
and 2017 Evaluation Reports (NSUARB M08604)
NSPI Responses to SBA Information Requests

PARTIALLY CONFIDENTIAL (Attachment Only)

1 Under this approach 25 percent of total expenditures are assumed to reflect System
2 Benefit Costs and are allocated among rate classes using the Cost of Service
3 methodology and the remaining 75 percent are Participating Class Benefits Costs
4 assigned directly to participating classes. Further, NS Power applies this cost allocation
5 methodology to allocate annual actual DSM expenditures to rate classes in order to track
6 recovery of these costs for DSM cost true-up purposes at the next general rate application
7 (GRA).

8
9 The year-to-date DSM cost true-up results from 2016-2017 show that E1 spent \$52.3
10 million or \$6.4 million (\$6.8 million with interest) below the amount of \$58.7 million
11 approved by the Board for these two years in its 2016-2018 DSM Plan decision. Since
12 NS Power under-recovered \$2.1 million (\$2.2 million with interest) of this amount from
13 ratepayers due to actual kWh sales being 3.4 percent lower than forecasted during these
14 two years, this portion is currently due to the Company with the remaining \$4.3 million
15 (\$4.6 million with interest) being due to customers.

16
17 In the next general rate setting exercise, the Company will adjust the base cost rates to
18 ensure recovery of its under- or over-recovered DSM cost payments to E1³ and a credit to
19 or recovery from customers of any outstanding amounts from previous DSM plans⁴.
20 Please refer to Attachment 1 to see how NS Power applies the DSM cost allocation
21 methodology to track recovery of actual DSM costs.

³ In this instance, should the outstanding balance at the end of the 2016-2018 DSM Plan be \$6.8 million, the costs of the next DSM plan would be reduced by \$4.6 million, rather than \$6.8 million to ensure that NS Power recovers its under-recovered DSM costs from that period.

⁴ See treatment of outstanding DSM balance of \$8.5 million from 2014 owed by E1 to ratepayers under the 2016-2018 DSM Plan in Board's Order M06733, Schedule B which provides for netting of this amount from the total approved DSM amount of \$102.15 million.

7.01%														6.96%																											
2016														2017														Year-to-date Variance													
GWh Sales			DSM Expenditures				DSM Cost Recovery							Variance			GWh Sales			DSM Expenditures				DSM Cost Recovery							Variance										
Actual	Test Year	Ratio	2014 Balance Adjustment Credit ⁽¹⁾	2015 DSM Cost - first of 8 annual instalments	2016 DSM: Allocation of Actual Expenditures as reported by E1	Total	Payments by customers to Nova Scotia Power				Payments by NS Power to E1 ⁽²⁾			Balance Adjustment applicable to Customers	Balance Adjustment applicable to NS Power ⁽²⁾	Balance Adjustment applicable to E1		Actual	Test Year	Ratio	2014 Balance Adjustment Credit ⁽¹⁾	2015 DSM Cost - first of 8 annual instalments	2016 DSM: Allocation of Actual Expenditures as reported by E1	Total	2014 Balance Adjustment Credit	2015 DSM Cost - first of 8 annual instalments	2016 DSM Budget				Total	2016 DSM Budget	2014 Balance Adjustment	Total	2016 DSM Budget	2014 Balance Adjustment	Total	Balance Adjustment applicable to Customers	Balance Adjustment applicable to NS Power ⁽²⁾	Balance Adjustment applicable to E1	
							2014 Balance Adjustment Credit	2015 DSM Cost - first of 8 annual Instalments	2016 DSM Budget	Total	2016 DSM Budget	2014 Balance Adjustment	Total																												
Rate Class	4,318	4,485	0.96	-\$5,365,775	\$2,779,524	\$16,607,210	\$14,020,960	-\$5,166,381	\$2,676,236	\$16,965,301	\$14,475,156	\$17,620,067	-\$5,365,775	\$12,254,292	-\$454,196	-\$558,660	\$1,012,857	4,374	4,551	0.96	\$0	\$2,779,524	\$15,053,388	\$17,832,912	\$0	\$2,671,048	\$17,999,852	\$20,670,899	\$18,730,861	\$0	\$18,730,861	-\$2,837,988	-\$839,486	\$3,677,473	-\$3,439,586	-\$1,487,187	\$4,926,772				
Residential	272	248	1.10	-\$653,838	\$170,993	\$946,144	\$463,299	-\$717,555	\$187,657	\$1,664,479	\$1,134,580	\$1,516,677	-\$653,838	\$862,839	-\$671,281	\$100,748	\$570,533	280	242	1.16	\$0	\$170,993	\$916,043	\$1,087,036	\$0	\$197,806	\$1,708,896	\$1,906,702	\$1,477,254	\$0	\$1,477,254	-\$819,666	\$258,455	\$561,211	-\$91,359	\$378,986	\$12,2372				
Small General	2,379	2,509	0.95	-\$1,476,269	\$1,422,918	\$7,950,063	\$7,896,712	-\$1,399,625	\$1,349,040	\$7,895,102	\$7,844,521	\$8,327,463	-\$1,476,269	\$6,851,194	\$52,191	-\$429,592	\$377,400	2,379	2,522	0.94	\$0	\$1,422,918	\$8,524,978	\$9,947,896	\$0	\$1,342,449	\$7,700,388	\$9,042,837	\$8,161,966	\$0	\$8,161,966	\$905,059	-\$542,047	-\$363,012	\$994,336	-\$1,036,507	\$4,2174				
General Demand	411	407	1.01	-\$531,388	\$164,970	\$1,008,427	\$642,009	-\$537,742	\$166,942	\$1,717,798	\$1,346,998	\$1,697,501	-\$531,388	\$1,166,113	-\$704,989	\$15,916	\$689,074	401	401	1.00	\$0	\$164,970	\$1,258,657	\$1,423,627	\$0	\$164,688	\$1,645,408	\$1,810,096	\$1,648,224	\$0	\$1,648,224	-\$386,469	-\$3,097	\$389,566	-\$1,880,404	\$14,415	\$1,165,989				
Large General	249	252	0.99	-\$254,170	\$158,253	\$984,791	\$888,875	-\$250,614	\$156,040	\$714,240	\$619,665	\$724,374	-\$254,170	\$470,204	-\$8,792	-\$260,417	\$0	246	252	0.98	\$0	\$158,253	\$1,015,427	\$1,173,680	\$0	\$154,992	\$693,723	\$848,714	\$708,323	\$0	\$708,323	\$324,966	-\$17,862	-\$307,104	\$634,314	-\$28,217	-\$606,097				
Small Industrial	462	471	0.98	-\$300,973	\$194,714	\$1,028,052	\$921,793	-\$295,152	\$190,948	\$959,424	\$855,221	\$978,345	-\$300,973	\$677,372	\$66,573	-\$16,866	-\$49,707	466	481	0.97	\$0	\$194,714	\$976,969	\$1,171,683	\$0	\$188,972	\$939,992	\$1,128,964	\$968,556	\$0	\$968,556	\$42,719	-\$34,306	-\$8,413	\$117,908	-\$54,172	\$66,736				
Medium Industrial	681	691	0.98	\$486,703	\$301,138	\$1,315,848	\$2,103,689	\$479,400	\$296,619	\$1,588,173	\$2,364,192	\$1,612,368	\$486,703	\$2,099,071	-\$260,503	-\$36,017	\$296,520	709	688	1.03	\$0	\$301,138	\$1,418,132	\$1,719,271	\$0	\$310,346	\$1,623,241	\$1,933,587	\$1,575,080	\$0	\$1,575,080	-\$214,317	\$57,369	\$156,948	-\$510,175	\$19,491	\$490,684				
Large Industrial	165	181	0.91	\$166,565	\$134,135	\$731,044	\$1,031,744	\$151,525	\$122,024	\$536,448	\$809,997	\$589,693	\$166,565	\$756,258	\$221,747	-\$80,396	-\$141,351	143	183	0.78	\$0	\$134,135	\$883,328	\$1,017,463	\$0	\$104,278	\$469,010	\$573,288	\$603,301	\$0	\$603,301	\$444,176	-\$164,148	-\$280,028	\$705,126	-\$258,866	\$446,260				
Municipal	87	108	0.80	-\$606,010	\$16,642	\$79,924	-\$509,444	-\$487,630	\$13,391	\$89,757	-\$384,483	\$111,547	-\$606,010	-\$494,463	-\$124,962	\$93,339	\$31,623	82	109	0.76	\$0	\$16,642	\$70,955	\$87,598	\$0	\$12,588	\$85,747	\$98,335	\$113,360	\$0	\$113,360	-\$10,737	-\$31,667	\$42,404	-\$149,455	\$70,565	\$78,889				
Unmetered																																									
Total	9,075	9,377		-\$8,518,030	\$5,348,243	\$30,677,964	\$27,508,177	-\$8,186,790	\$5,175,674	\$32,232,009	\$29,220,894	\$33,210,000	-\$8,518,030	\$24,691,970	-\$1,712,717	-\$819,319	\$2,532,036	9,117	9,454		\$0	\$5,348,243	\$30,136,478	\$35,484,721	\$0	\$5,158,408	\$32,935,822	\$38,094,230	\$34,020,000	\$0	\$34,020,000	-\$2,609,909	-\$1,274,013	\$3,883,522	-\$4,596,451	-\$2,225,408	\$6,821,859				

(1) Source: Appendix B, 2014 BA, Efficiency Nova Scotia - 2016 DSM Annual Progress Report, Date Filed: March 31, 2016.
(2) Order M06733, Schedule B Compensation

Line #

Table 1: 2015 PCR - Allocation of 25% of 2015 program costs associated with system benefits

COLUMN

A

B

C

D

E

F

G

H

Program Cost Recovery by Benefits

System Benefits	25%	\$7,980,896
Combined Class and Participant Benefits	<u>75%</u>	<u>\$23,942,688</u>
Total ¹	100%	\$31,923,584

Functionalization of system Benefit DSM Costs

Factors		
Generation		100%
Transmission		0%
Distribution		0%
Retail		0%

Classification of System Benefit DSM Costs

Factors ²	
Demand-related	35.81%
Energy-related	<u>64.19%</u>
Total	100.00%

Rate Class	Demand-Related Costs			Energy-Related Costs			Total	
	3 CP kW Demands ³	Relative Share	(\$)	MWh Energy Requirement ⁴	Relative Share	(\$)	Relative Share	Total Amount (\$)
Residential ⁵	3,570,218	60.1%	\$1,718,849	4,897,552	48.6%	\$2,490,895	52.7%	\$4,209,743
Small General	140,773	2.4%	\$67,774	294,931	2.9%	\$150,002	2.7%	\$217,776
General Demand	1,252,745	21.1%	\$603,123	2,598,157	25.8%	\$1,321,423	24.1%	\$1,924,545
Large General	163,591	2.8%	\$78,759	439,535	4.4%	\$223,547	3.8%	\$302,307
Small Industrial	104,113	1.8%	\$50,124	271,056	2.7%	\$137,859	2.4%	\$187,983
Medium Industrial	188,434	3.2%	\$90,720	502,045	5.0%	\$255,340	4.3%	\$346,060
Large Industrial	292,614	4.9%	\$140,877	709,512	7.0%	\$360,858	6.3%	\$501,734
Municipal	123,818	2.1%	\$59,611	204,612	2.0%	\$104,066	2.1%	\$163,677
Unmetered	77,310	1.3%	\$37,220	118,508	1.2%	\$60,273	1.2%	\$97,493
Total	5,937,085	100.0%	\$2,858,355	10,071,846	100.0%	\$5,122,541	100.0%	\$7,980,896
	5,913,616			35,938				
Classification Breakdown		35.81%		10,035,908	64.19%			100.0%

Numbers may not add due to rounding

¹Source: EfficiencyOne 2017 DSM Annual Progress Report filed in March 31, 2017

²Source: Source:“2015 FAM AA True Up Calculations as used in Appendix B- Part 2 FAM BA COS (Partially confidential), as provided in NSPI Responses to NSUARB Information Requests ; NSUARB M07703

³Source: “2015 FAM AA True Up Calculations as used in Appendix B- Part 2 FAM BA COS (Partially confidential), as provided in NSPI Responses to NSUARB Information Requests ; NSUARB M07703

⁴Source: “2015 FAM AA True Up Calculations as used in Appendix B- Part 2 FAM BA COS (Partially confidential), as provided in NSPI Responses to NSUARB Information Requests ; NSUARB M07703.

⁵All residential rate classes use the same unit fixed cost estimate

Line #
1
2
3
4

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

22
23
24
25
26
27

Table 2: 2015 PCR - Allocation of 75% of actual 2015 DSM Program expenditures associated with benefits realized by participating classes

COLUMN FORMULA	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	
										Σ col A to I	J * 75%	
Program	Efficient Product (RES)	Existing Houses	New Residential	Energy Saving Actions	Efficient Product Rebates (BNI)	Custom Incentives	Direct Installation (BNI)	Education and Outreach	Development and Research	Other Enabling Strategies	All Program Costs Combined	Program Costs Directly Assigned to Participating rate classes (75% of the total)
Rate Class												
Residential	\$3,837,121	\$7,478,798	\$1,168,353	\$1,034,081.32	\$498,276	\$93,851	\$489,398	\$917,038	\$460,331	\$531,011	\$16,508,259	\$12,381,194
Small General	\$8,514	\$7,344	\$0	\$0	\$326,133	\$16,112	\$513,905	\$54,738	\$32,433	\$111,328	\$1,070,507	\$802,880
General Demand	\$7,659	\$22,055	\$0	\$0	\$2,915,577	\$2,614,284	\$2,338,932	\$329,032	\$222,787	\$308,118	\$8,758,442	\$6,568,831
Large General	\$792	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$497,128	\$328,730	\$0	\$34,347	\$24,918	\$23,943	\$909,859	\$682,394
Small Industrial	\$1,585	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$217,144	\$214,421	\$405,571	\$34,853	\$24,908	\$110,356	\$1,008,839	\$756,629
Medium Industrial	\$1,056	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$332,186	\$565,237	\$91,164	\$41,059	\$29,078	\$28,468	\$1,088,248	\$816,186
Large Industrial	\$1,056	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$873,535	\$697,487	\$0	\$65,424	\$45,361	\$44,811	\$1,727,675	\$1,295,756
Municipal	\$61,871	\$136,739	\$33,486	\$0	\$174,551	\$315,723	\$41,401	\$37,384	\$23,753	\$24,390	\$849,297	\$636,973
Unmetered	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,238	\$0	\$0	\$93	\$63	\$63	\$2,457	\$1,843
Total	\$3,919,655	\$7,644,936	\$1,201,839	\$1,034,081	\$5,836,768	\$4,845,846	\$3,880,371	\$1,513,968	\$863,632	\$1,182,488	\$31,923,584	\$23,942,688
Total Spending per Audited	\$3,947,105	\$7,696,734	\$1,210,031	\$1,042,674	\$5,864,086	\$4,832,346	\$3,891,022	\$ 1,501,111	\$ 864,464	\$ 1,180,372	\$32,029,946	
Interest earned on DSM fur	-\$35,703	-\$69,140	-\$10,883	-\$9,804	-\$49,135	-\$30,529	-\$30,535	-\$7,190	-\$6,453	-\$7,898	-\$257,269	
Amortization on Capital Ass	\$8,253	\$17,341	\$2,691	\$1,211	\$21,817	\$44,029	\$19,884	\$20,048	\$5,620	\$10,014	\$150,907	
Actual Spending Total	\$3,919,655	\$7,644,936	\$1,201,839	\$1,034,081	\$5,836,768	\$4,845,846	\$3,880,371	\$1,513,968	\$863,632	\$1,182,488	\$31,923,584	

Numbers may not add due to rounding

Table 1, 2015 Actual Spending

3

1

2015 Actual Spending

20

Line #
1
2
3
4
5
6

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Table 4: 2015 PCR - Allocation of 2015 program costs among rate classes

COLUMN	A	B	C	D	E	F
FORMULA	Table 1 Column H		Table 2 Column K		A + C	
	System Benefit Costs (25% of the total expenditure allocated to classes using COS methodology)		Participating Class Benefit Costs (75% of the total expenditure directly assigned to participating classes)		2017 PCR	
	(\$)	Relative Share	(\$)	Relative Share	(\$)	Relative Share
Rate Class						
Residential	\$4,209,743	52.7%	\$12,381,194	51.7%	\$16,590,938	52.0%
Small General	\$217,776	2.7%	\$802,880	3.4%	\$1,020,656	3.2%
General Demand	\$1,924,545	24.1%	\$6,568,831	27.4%	\$8,493,377	26.6%
Large General	\$302,307	3.8%	\$682,394	2.9%	\$984,701	3.1%
Small Industrial	\$187,983	2.4%	\$756,629	3.2%	\$944,613	3.0%
Medium Industrial	\$346,060	4.3%	\$816,186	3.4%	\$1,162,246	3.6%
Large Industrial	\$501,734	6.3%	\$1,295,756	5.4%	\$1,797,490	5.6%
Municipal	\$163,677	2.1%	\$636,973	2.7%	\$800,650	2.5%
Unmetered	\$97,493	1.2%	\$1,843	0.0%	\$99,336	0.3%
Total	\$7,980,896	100.0%	\$23,942,688	100.0%	\$31,923,584	100.0%

Numbers may not add due to rounding

Line

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

TABLE 3 Calculation Costs by class

COLUMN

A

B

C

D

E

F

G

H

I

J

FORMULA

Table 2
Column K

Table 1
Column H

Table 2
Column L

C + E

G - I

Total Expenditure by
Rate class

System Benefit Costs (25%
of the total expenditure
allocated to classes using
COS methodology)

Participating Class
benefit Costs (75% of
the total expenditure
directly assigned to
participating classes)

Total

Actual

Relative
\$ Amount Share

Relative
\$ Amount Share

Relative
\$ Amount Share

Relative
\$ Amount Share

2015
Collections

Var. bfr
Interest

Rate Class

Residential5

\$16,508,259

51.7%

\$4,209,743

52.7%

\$12,381,194

51.7%

\$16,590,938

52.0%

\$2,779,524

13,811,414

Small General

\$1,070,507

3.4%

\$217,776

2.7%

\$802,880

3.4%

\$1,020,656

3.2%

\$170,993

849,663

General Demand

\$8,758,442

27.4%

\$1,924,545

24.1%

\$6,568,831

27.4%

\$8,493,377

26.6%

\$1,422,918

7,070,459

Large General

\$909,859

2.9%

\$302,307

3.8%

\$682,394

2.9%

\$984,701

3.1%

\$164,970

819,731

Small Industrial

\$1,008,839

3.2%

\$187,983

2.4%

\$756,629

3.2%

\$944,613

3.0%

\$158,253

786,359

Medium Industrial

\$1,088,248

3.4%

\$346,060

4.3%

\$816,186

3.4%

\$1,162,246

3.6%

\$194,714

967,532

Large Industrial

\$1,727,675

5.4%

\$501,734

6.3%

\$1,295,756

5.4%

\$1,797,490

5.6%

\$301,138

1,496,352

Municipal

\$849,297

2.7%

\$163,677

2.1%

\$636,973

2.7%

\$800,650

2.5%

\$134,135

666,515

Unmetered

\$2,457

0.0%

\$97,493

1.2%

\$1,843

0.0%

\$99,336

0.3%

\$16,642

82,694

Total

\$31,923,584

100.0%

\$7,980,896

100.0%

\$23,942,688

100.0%

\$31,923,584

100.0%

\$5,348,243

26,575,341

1) Used WACC of 7.01 % from 2016 Compliance Filing gives an adjustment factor of $(1.0701)^{21}= 1.0701$

2)

WACC 7.01%
 $(1 + \text{WACC})^2$ 1.07010

Line #

Table 1: 2016 PCR - Allocation of 25% of 2016 program expenses associated with system benefits

COLUMN

A

B

C

D

E

F

G

H

Program Cost Recovery by Benefits

System Benefits	25%	\$7,669,491
Combined Class and Participant Benefits	<u>75%</u>	<u>\$23,008,473</u>
Total ¹	100%	\$30,677,964

Functionalization of system Benefit DSM Costs

	Factors
Generation	100%
Transmission	0%
Distribution	0%
Retail	0%

Classification of System Benefit DSM Costs

	Factors ²
Demand-related	35.81%
Energy-related	<u>64.19%</u>
Total	100.00%

Rate Class	Demand-Related Costs			Energy-Related Costs			Total	
	3 CP kW Demands ³	Relative Share	(\$)	MWh Energy Requirement ⁴	Relative Share	(\$)	Relative Share	Total Amount (\$)
Residential ⁵	3,644,446	61.5%	\$1,690,063	4,732,129	48.4%	\$2,382,828	53.1%	\$4,072,891
Small General	142,921	2.4%	\$66,278	295,841	3.0%	\$148,968	2.8%	\$215,246
General Demand	1,244,244	21.0%	\$577,002	2,530,253	25.9%	\$1,274,089	24.1%	\$1,851,091
Large General	167,018	2.8%	\$77,453	435,385	4.5%	\$219,235	3.9%	\$296,687
Small Industrial	110,359	1.9%	\$51,178	263,014	2.7%	\$132,439	2.4%	\$183,616
Medium Industrial	188,083	3.2%	\$87,221	487,030	5.0%	\$245,240	4.3%	\$332,461
Large Industrial	245,023	4.1%	\$113,626	711,333	7.3%	\$358,186	6.2%	\$471,813
Municipal	112,340	1.9%	\$52,096	173,183	1.8%	\$87,205	1.8%	\$139,301
Unmetered	68,809	1.2%	\$31,909	95,353	1.0%	\$48,014	1.0%	\$79,924
Total	5,923,244	100.0%	\$2,746,826	9,776,070	100.0%	\$4,922,665	100.0%	\$7,669,491
Classification Breakdown		35.81%			64.19%			100.0%

Numbers may not add due to rounding

1 Source: EfficiencyOne 2017 DSM Annual Progress Report filed in March 31, 2017

2 Source: " NSUARB IR-02 attachment 2 page 2 "FAM AA Riders to come into effect in 2017" as provided in NSPI Responses to NSUARB Information Requests ; NSUARB M07703

³ Source: " NSUARB IR-02 attachment "FAM AA Riders to come into effect in 2017" as provided in NSPI Responses to NSUARB Information Requests ; NSUARB M07703

4 Source: " NSUARB IR-02 attachment "FAM AA Riders to come into effect in 2017" as provided in NSPI Responses to NSUARB Information Requests ; NSUARB M07703

⁵ All residential rate classes use the same unit fixed cost estimate

Line #
1
2
3
4

Table 2: 2016 PCR - Allocation of 75% of actual 2016 DSM Program expenditures associated with benefits realized by participating classes

COLUMN FORMULA	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J Σ col A to I	K J * 75%
Program	Efficient Product (Residential)	Existing Houses	New Houses	Efficient Product (BNI)	Custom Incentives	Direct Installation (BNI)	Education and Outreach	Development and Research	Other Enabling Strategies	All Program Costs Combined	Program Costs Directly Assigned to Participating rate classes (75% of the total)
Rate Class											
Residential	\$5,518,667	\$7,417,755	\$1,311,785	\$443,284	\$18,408	\$336,676	\$939,001	\$208,785	\$518,064	\$16,712,426	\$12,534,320
Small General	\$6,488	\$18,740	\$0	\$446,259	\$0	\$406,014	\$54,665	\$12,155	\$30,209	\$974,530	\$730,898
General Demand	\$8,438	\$31,452	\$0	\$3,393,411	\$1,908,640	\$1,980,691	\$455,884	\$101,365	\$252,081	\$8,131,963	\$6,098,972
Large General	\$407	\$0	\$0	\$501,852	\$352,276	\$0	\$53,205	\$11,830	\$29,417	\$948,987	\$711,740
Small Industrial	\$407	\$0	\$0	\$604,835	\$282,894	\$73,756	\$59,907	\$13,320	\$33,114	\$1,068,233	\$801,175
Medium Industrial	\$406	\$0	\$0	\$297,844	\$536,954	\$0	\$51,949	\$11,551	\$28,750	\$927,455	\$695,591
Large Industrial	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$379,890	\$633,549	\$0	\$63,040	\$14,017	\$34,885	\$1,125,380	\$844,035
Municipal	\$93,960	\$101,591	\$36,128	\$127,310	\$300,083	\$51,392	\$44,233	\$9,835	\$24,458	\$788,990	\$591,743
Unmetered	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$5,628,773	\$7,569,539	\$1,347,913	\$6,194,686	\$4,032,804	\$2,848,529	\$1,721,884	\$382,857	\$950,978	\$30,677,964	\$23,008,473
Total Spending per Auditee	\$5,665,186	\$7,613,413	\$1,355,260	\$6,228,007	\$4,036,971	\$2,856,126	\$1,715,600	\$383,302	\$950,013	\$30,803,878	
Interest earned on DSM	-\$40,555	-\$52,351	-\$9,122	-\$41,734	-\$19,645	-\$15,874	-\$4,927	-\$1,886	-\$3,796	-\$189,891	
Amortization on Capital	\$4,142	\$8,478	\$1,775	\$8,412	\$15,479	\$8,277	\$11,210	\$1,442	\$4,762	\$63,977	
Actual Spending Total	\$5,628,773	\$7,569,539	\$1,347,913	\$6,194,686	\$4,032,804	\$2,848,529	\$1,721,884	\$382,857	\$950,978	\$30,677,964	

Numbers may not add due to rounding

Table 1, 2016 Actual Spending

20

1

[illegible]

Line #
1
2
3
4
5
6

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Table 4: 2016 PCR - allocation of 2016 program costs among rate classes

COLUMN	A	B	C	D	E	F
FORMULA	Table 1 Column H		Table 2 Column K		A + C	
	System Benefit Costs (25% of the total expenditure allocated to classes using COS methodology)		Participating Class Benefit Costs (75% of the total expenditure directly assigned to participating classes)		2016 PCR	
	(\$)	Relative Share	(\$)	Relative Share	(\$)	Relative Share
Rate Class						
Residential	\$4,072,891	53.1%	\$12,534,320	54.5%	\$16,607,210	54.1%
Small General	\$215,246	2.8%	\$730,898	3.2%	\$946,144	3.1%
General Demand	\$1,851,091	24.1%	\$6,098,972	26.5%	\$7,950,063	25.9%
Large General	\$296,687	3.9%	\$711,740	3.1%	\$1,008,427	3.3%
Small Industrial	\$183,616	2.4%	\$801,175	3.5%	\$984,791	3.2%
Medium Industrial	\$332,461	4.3%	\$695,591	3.0%	\$1,028,052	3.4%
Large Industrial	\$471,813	6.2%	\$844,035	3.7%	\$1,315,848	4.3%
Municipal	\$139,301	1.8%	\$591,743	2.6%	\$731,044	2.4%
Unmetered	\$79,924	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$79,924	0.3%
Total	\$7,669,491	100.0%	\$23,008,473	100.0%	\$30,677,964	100.0%

Numbers may not add due to rounding

Line #	TABLE 3 Calculation Costs by class										
1											
2											
3	COLUMN	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
4											
5	FORMULA	Table 2 Column K		Table 1 Column H		Table 2 Column L		C + E		G - I	
6											
7		Total Expenditure by Rate class		System Benefit Costs (25% of the total expenditure allocated to classes using COS methodology)		Participating Class benefit Costs (75% of the total expenditure directly assigned to participating classes)		Total		Actual	
8		\$ Amount	Relative Share	\$ Amount	Relative Share	\$ Amount	Relative Share	\$ Amount	Relative Share	2016 Collections	Var. bfr WACC
9	Rate Class										
10	Residential5	\$16,712,426	54.5%	\$4,072,891	53.1%	\$12,534,320	54.5%	\$16,607,210	54.1%	\$16,607,210	0
11	Small General	\$974,530	3.2%	\$215,246	2.8%	\$730,898	3.2%	\$946,144	3.1%	\$946,144	0
12	General Demand	\$8,131,963	26.5%	\$1,851,091	24.1%	\$6,098,972	26.5%	\$7,950,063	25.9%	\$7,950,063	0
13	Large General	\$948,987	3.1%	\$296,687	3.9%	\$711,740	3.1%	\$1,008,427	3.3%	\$1,008,427	0
14	Small Industrial	\$1,068,233	3.5%	\$183,616	2.4%	\$801,175	3.5%	\$984,791	3.2%	\$984,791	0
15	Medium Industrial	\$927,455	3.0%	\$332,461	4.3%	\$695,591	3.0%	\$1,028,052	3.4%	\$1,028,052	0
16	Large Industrial	\$1,125,380	3.7%	\$471,813	6.2%	\$844,035	3.7%	\$1,315,848	4.3%	\$1,315,848	0
17	Municipal	\$788,990	2.6%	\$139,301	1.8%	\$591,743	2.6%	\$731,044	2.4%	\$731,044	0
18	Unmetered	\$0	0.0%	\$79,924	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$79,924	0.3%	\$79,924	0
19											
20											
21											
22	Total	\$30,677,964	100.0%	\$7,669,491	100.0%	\$23,008,473	100.0%	\$30,677,964	100.0%	\$30,677,964	0
23											
24											
25	1) Used WACC of 7.01 % from 2016 Compliance Filing gives an adjustment factor of (1.0701)0= 1.00										
26											

WACC
 $(1 + \text{WACC})^2$

Line #

Table 1: 2017 PCR - Allocation of 25% of 2017 program expenses associated with system benefits

COLUMN

A

B

C

D

E

F

G

H

Program Cost Recovery by Benefits

System Benefits	25%	\$7,534,119
Combined Class and Participant Benefits	<u>75%</u>	<u>\$22,602,358</u>
Total ¹	100%	\$30,136,478

Functionalization of system Benefit DSM Costs

	Factors
Generation	100%
Transmission	0%
Distribution	0%
Retail	0%

Classification of System Benefit DSM Costs

	Factors ²
Demand-related	36.20%
Energy-related	<u>63.80%</u>
Total	100.00%

Rate Class	Demand-Related Costs			Energy-Related Costs			Total	
	3 CP kW Demands ³	Relative Share	(\$)	MWh Energy Requirement ⁴	Relative Share	(\$)	Relative Share	Total Amount (\$)
Residential ⁵	3,535,616	62.2%	\$1,696,320	4,785,636	48.7%	\$2,341,631	53.6%	\$4,037,951
Small General	151,256	2.7%	\$72,569	304,723	3.1%	\$149,102	2.9%	\$221,672
General Demand	1,142,508	20.1%	\$548,153	2,532,595	25.8%	\$1,239,209	23.7%	\$1,787,362
Large General	161,919	2.8%	\$77,686	425,288	4.3%	\$208,095	3.8%	\$285,781
Small Industrial	120,558	2.1%	\$57,842	260,869	2.7%	\$127,644	2.5%	\$185,486
Medium Industrial	185,237	3.3%	\$88,873	492,979	5.0%	\$241,217	4.4%	\$330,090
Large Industrial	233,693	4.1%	\$112,121	743,201	7.6%	\$363,651	6.3%	\$475,773
Municipal	97,927	1.7%	\$46,983	150,147	1.5%	\$73,467	1.6%	\$120,451
Unmetered	56,161	1.0%	\$26,945	89,946	0.9%	\$44,011	0.9%	\$70,955
Total	5,684,874	100.0%	\$2,727,492	9,823,397	100.0%	\$4,806,628	100.0%	\$7,534,119
Classification Breakdown		36.20%			63.80%			100.0%

Numbers may not add due to rounding

1 Source: EfficiencyOne 2017 DSM Annual Progress Report filed in March 31, 2018

2 Source: Appendix B-1 - Part 1 FAM AA COS (Partially Confidential) as provided in NSPI Responses to NSUARB Information Requests ; NSUARB M8570

3 Source:Appendix B-1 - Part 1 FAM AA COS (Partially Confidential) as provided in NSPI Responses to NSUARB Information Requests ; NSUARB M8570

4 Source: Appendix B-1 - Part 1 FAM AA COS (Partially Confidential) as provided in NSPI Responses to NSUARB Information Requests ; NSUARB M8570

5 All residential rate classes use the same unit fixed cost estimate

Line #
1
2
3
4

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17
18
19
20
22
23
24
25
26
27

Table 2: 2017 PCR - Allocation of 75% of actual 2017 DSM Program expenditures associated with benefits realized by participating classes

COLUMN FORMULA	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J Σ col A to I	K J * 75%
Program	Efficient Product (Residential)	Existing Houses	New Houses	Efficient Product (BNI)	Custom Incentives	Direct Installation (BNI)	Education and Outreach	Development and Research	Other Enabling Strategies	All Program Costs Combined	Program Costs Directly Assigned to Participating rate classes (75% of the total)
Rate Class											
Residential	\$4,116,518	\$6,993,314	\$1,250,043	\$441,859	\$35,813	\$510,340	\$779,071	\$119,509	\$440,782	\$14,687,250	\$11,015,437
Small General	\$8,595	\$1,657	\$0	\$444,421	\$0	\$386,726	\$49,110	\$7,533	\$27,785	\$925,828	\$694,371
General Demand	\$11,504	\$0	\$0	\$4,045,652	\$1,491,412	\$2,615,690	\$476,526	\$73,099	\$269,605	\$8,983,488	\$6,737,616
Large General	\$398	\$0	\$0	\$418,182	\$760,295	\$0	\$68,809	\$10,555	\$38,930	\$1,297,169	\$972,877
Small Industrial	\$1,193	\$0	\$0	\$434,368	\$436,562	\$133,551	\$58,699	\$9,004	\$33,210	\$1,106,588	\$829,941
Medium Industrial	\$887	\$0	\$0	\$280,612	\$502,352	\$0	\$45,752	\$7,018	\$25,885	\$862,505	\$646,879
Large Industrial	\$795	\$0	\$0	\$379,932	\$761,170	\$0	\$66,650	\$10,224	\$37,708	\$1,256,480	\$942,360
Municipal	\$68,210	\$119,180	\$124,917	\$339,157	\$188,702	\$84,245	\$53,955	\$8,277	\$30,526	\$1,017,170	\$762,878
Unmetered	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$4,208,101	\$7,114,151	\$1,374,960	\$6,784,184	\$4,176,305	\$3,730,553	\$1,598,572	\$245,219	\$904,431	\$30,136,478	\$22,602,358
Total Spending per Auditee	\$4,234,023	\$7,157,975	\$1,383,430	\$6,825,976	\$4,202,032	\$3,753,534	\$1,608,420	\$246,730	\$910,003	\$30,322,122	
Interest earned on DSM	-\$28,093	-\$47,494	-\$9,179	-\$45,291	-\$27,881	-\$24,905	-\$10,672	-\$1,637	-\$6,038	-\$201,190	
Amortization on Capital	\$2,171	\$3,670	\$709	\$3,500	\$2,154	\$1,924	\$825	\$126	\$467	\$15,546	
Actual Spending Total	\$4,208,101	\$7,114,151	\$1,374,960	\$6,784,184	\$4,176,305	\$3,730,553	\$1,598,572	\$245,219	\$904,431	\$30,136,478	

Numbers may not add due to rounding

Table 1, 2017 Actual Spending

Line #

Line #
1
2
3
4
5
6

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Table 4: 2017 PCR - allocation of 2017 program costs among rate classes

COLUMN	A	B	C	D	E	F
FORMULA	Table 1 Column H		Table 2 Column K		A + C	
	System Benefit Costs (25% of the total expenditure allocated to classes using COS methodology)		Participating Class Benefit Costs (75% of the total expenditure directly assigned to participating classes)		2016 PCR	
	(\$)	Relative Share	(\$)	Relative Share	(\$)	Relative Share
Rate Class						
Residential	\$4,037,951	53.6%	\$11,015,437	48.7%	\$15,053,388	50.0%
Small General	\$221,672	2.9%	\$694,371	3.1%	\$916,043	3.0%
General Demand	\$1,787,362	23.7%	\$6,737,616	29.8%	\$8,524,978	28.3%
Large General	\$285,781	3.8%	\$972,877	4.3%	\$1,258,657	4.2%
Small Industrial	\$185,486	2.5%	\$829,941	3.7%	\$1,015,427	3.4%
Medium Industrial	\$330,090	4.4%	\$646,879	2.9%	\$976,969	3.2%
Large Industrial	\$475,773	6.3%	\$942,360	4.2%	\$1,418,132	4.7%
Municipal	\$120,451	1.6%	\$762,878	3.4%	\$883,328	2.9%
Unmetered	\$70,955	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	\$70,955	0.2%
Total	\$7,534,119	100.0%	\$22,602,358	100.0%	\$30,136,478	100.0%

Numbers may not add due to rounding

Line #	TABLE 3 Calculation Costs by class										
1											
2											
3	COLUMN	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
4											
5	FORMULA	Table 2		Table 1		Table 2					
6		Column K		Column H		Column L		C + E		G - I	
7											
8											
9	Rate Class										
10	Residential5	\$14,687,250	48.7%	\$4,037,951	53.6%	\$11,015,437	48.7%	\$15,053,388	50.0%	\$15,053,388	0
11	Small General	\$925,828	3.1%	\$221,672	2.9%	\$694,371	3.1%	\$916,043	3.0%	\$916,043	0
12	General Demand	\$8,983,488	29.8%	\$1,787,362	23.7%	\$6,737,616	29.8%	\$8,524,978	28.3%	\$8,524,978	0
13	Large General	\$1,297,169	4.3%	\$285,781	3.8%	\$972,877	4.3%	\$1,258,657	4.2%	\$1,258,657	0
14	Small Industrial	\$1,106,588	3.7%	\$185,486	2.5%	\$829,941	3.7%	\$1,015,427	3.4%	\$1,015,427	0
15	Medium Industrial	\$862,505	2.9%	\$330,090	4.4%	\$646,879	2.9%	\$976,969	3.2%	\$976,969	0
16	Large Industrial	\$1,256,480	4.2%	\$475,773	6.3%	\$942,360	4.2%	\$1,418,132	4.7%	\$1,418,132	0
17	Municipal	\$1,017,170	3.4%	\$120,451	1.6%	\$762,878	3.4%	\$883,328	2.9%	\$883,328	0
18	Unmetered	\$0	0.0%	\$70,955	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	\$70,955	0.2%	\$70,955	0
19											
20											
21											
22	Total	\$30,136,478	100.0%	\$7,534,119	100.0%	\$22,602,358	100.0%	\$30,136,478	100.0%	\$30,136,478	0
23											
24											
25	1) Used WACC of 7.01 % from 2016 Compliance Filing gives an adjustment factor of (1.0701)0= 1.00										
26											

WACC
 $(1 + \text{WACC})^2$

2019 DSM Plan Application, 2017 Annual Progress Report
and 2017 Evaluation Reports (NSUARB M08604)
NSPI Responses to SBA Information Requests

NON-CONFIDENTIAL

Request IR-3:

What is the overhead cost to NSP to administer the contract of the proposed 2019 DSM plan including the cost to participate in the DSMAG Working Group?

Response IR-3:

The administration of DSM programming is part of NS Power's overall OM&G budget. Oversight of this function involves a number of groups within NS Power, including Generation Planning, Load Forecasting, Regulatory Affairs, and Legal Services. NS Power has not calculated the overhead costs to administer the DSM contract.

2019 DSM Plan Application, 2017 Annual Progress Report
and 2017 Evaluation Reports (NSUARB M08604)
NSPI Responses to SBA Information Requests

NON-CONFIDENTIAL

Request IR-4:

Please provide annual electricity consumption of each NSP rate classes by end use categories for 2017.

Response IR-4:

End use consumption is estimated based on data from Natural Resources Canada calibrated to actual sales, internal surveys, and Energy Information Administration (EIA) data for the New England region. End use consumption is only estimated for the Residential, Small General and General Demand classes. Please refer to Attachment 1 for consumption by end use for these classes based on the end use intensity estimates (scaled to 2017 class sales) which is also provided in the 2018 10 Year Load Forecast (M08670). These estimates are based on the energy consumed by an “average” consumer; actual consumption will vary considerably between individual customers. While the totals for each “appliance” are estimated, the sum of all of the data matches total consumption (GWh) for the respective classes. This is actual consumption in 2017 and estimated consumption for 2019. DSM programs are taken into account in overall class level sales but impacts on particular end uses are not calculated.

Residential end use consumption, 2017 estimate

	Electric Baseboard/Forced Air Heat	Heat Pump Heat	Secondary Electric Heat	Central AC	Heat Pump Cooling	Room AC	Electric Hot Water	Stove	Main Refrigerator	Secondary Refrigerator	Freezer	Dishwasher	Clothes Washer	Electric Dryer	TV	Furnace Fan	Light	Misc	Electric Vehicles	Solar Generation
Residential GWh/year	1,219	446	72	13	28	20	711	240	182	26	90	68	21	278	328	66	348	223	0	-2

Commercial end use consumption, 2017 estimate

	Heating	Cooling	Ventilation	Water Heat	Cooking	Refrigeration	Light	Office	Misc
Small General GWh/year	45	39	22	2	3	38	58	17	57
General Demand GWh/year	384	330	183	18	26	326	489	141	483

2019 DSM Plan Application, 2017 Annual Progress Report
and 2017 Evaluation Reports (NSUARB M08604)
NSPI Responses to SBA Information Requests

NON-CONFIDENTIAL

Request IR-5:

Please provide the estimated annual electricity consumption for each NSP rate classes by end use categories for 2019.

Response IR-5:

End use consumption is estimated based on data from Natural Resources Canada calibrated to actual sales, internal surveys, and EIA data for the New England region. End use consumption is only estimated for the Residential, Small General and General Demand classes. Please refer to Attachment 1 for consumption by end use for these classes based on the end use intensity estimates (scaled to 2019 forecasted class sales) which is also provided in the 2018 10 Year Load Forecast (M08670). These estimates are based on the energy consumed by an “average” consumer; actual consumption will vary considerably between individual customers. While the totals for each “appliance” are estimated, the sum of all of the data matches total consumption (GWh) for the respective classes. This is actual consumption in 2017 and estimated consumption for 2019. DSM programs are taken into account in overall class level sales but impacts on particular end uses are not calculated.

Residential end use consumption, 2019 estimate

	Electric Baseboard/Forced Air Heat	Heat Pump Heat	Secondary Electric Heat	Central AC	Heat Pump Cooling	Room AC	Electric Hot Water	Stove	Main Refrigerator	Secondary Refrigerator	Freezer	Dishwasher	Clothes Washer	Electric Dryer	TV	Furnace Fan	Light	Misc	Electric Vehicles	Solar Generation
Residential GWh/year	1,115	551	76	13	35	20	736	246	179	25	89	67	19	284	326	64	339	229	1	-6

Commercial end use consumption, 2019 estimate

	Heating	Cooling	Ventilation	Water Heat	Cooking	Refrigeration	Light	Office	Misc
Small General GWh/year	44	38	21	2	3	38	56	15	57
General Demand GWh/year	379	327	184	18	25	329	483	134	496
	45	39	22	2	3	38	58	17	57
	384	330	183	18	26	326	489	141	483