

NOVA SCOTIA ENERGY BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF: *The More Access to Energy Act*

– and –

IN THE MATTER OF: **An Application by the NOVA SCOTIA INDEPENDENT
ENERGY SYSTEM OPERATOR for approval of its proposed
expenditure and revenue requirement for the test year ending
March 31, 2026**

INFORMATION REQUESTS

To: **Jason T. Cooke, K.C.**
Nova Scotia Independent Energy System Operator
Burchell Wickwire Bryson LLP
1900-1801 Hollis Street
Halifax, NS B3J 3N4
jcooke@bwbllp.ca

From: The Consumer Advocate

Responses Due: **October 7, 2025**

Copies: 1 electronic copy (PDF searchable)

Contact Person: **David J. Roberts**
Consumer Advocate
Pink Larkin
1463 South Park Street
Halifax, NS B3J 3S9
Tel: (902) 423-7777
Email: drobot@pinklarkin.com

1 **Request IR-1:**

2
3 RE: Exhibit A-1, p.2, stating, “the NSIESO does not have historical organizational experience and
4 operational data.”

5
6 (a) Please provide historical organizational experience and operational data provided to the
7 NSIESO from NS Power relevant to its forecast of OM&A costs.

8
9 (b) If the NSIESO has not requested the data in part (a) from NS Power, please explain why it
10 has not.

11
12 (c) If NS Power declined to provide the data requested in part (a), in whole or in part, please
13 provide the NSIESO’s understanding as to any reasons NS Power may have expressed for
14 its position.

15
16 (d) If the usefulness or applicability of the data requested in part (a) to the NSIESO are limited
17 in any manner, please explain the limitations.

18
19 **Request IR-2:**

20
21 RE: Exhibit B-2, p. 19, stating, “Therefore, upon transfer, these costs do not reflect an incremental
22 cost to customers because they represent a currently funded and continuing function.”

23
24 (a) Will NS Power transfer funds to the NSIESO to cover the cost so that they do not reflect
25 an incremental cost to customers? If not, please explain how these costs do not reflect an
26 incremental cost to customers.

27
28 (b) Please provide an update on the estimated 23 employees to be transitioned to the NSIESO
29 on an assumed start date of October 1, 2025. Are these assumptions still anticipated to be
30 reasonably accurate?

31
32 **Request IR-3:**

33
34 RE: Exhibit A-1, p. 10, stating that the NSIESO anticipates future capital applications that may be
35 “required to fulfil the NSIESO’s core functions.”

36
37 (a) Does the NSIESO anticipate adopting NS Power’s accounting policies regarding, for
38 example, the definitions of capital projects and the cost thresholds for projects that must be
39 approved in an annual plan or application?

40
41 (b) Please provide any list of such capital applications that the NSIESO anticipates at this time.

42
43 (c) Does the NSIESO expect to obtain any assets as a result of capital transfers from NS
44 Power? If so, please provide details on anticipated cost, schedule, etc. that may be
45 available.

- 1 (d) Does the NSIESO expect to rent or otherwise expense the cost of using any assets that will
2 continue to be owned by NS Power? If so, please provide details on anticipated cost, timing,
3 etc.
4

5 **Request IR-4:**
6

7 Does the “Transition Committee” (Exhibit A-1, p. 8) exist?
8

- 9 (a) If so, please identify when it first met, describe its membership, and provide any available
10 agendas, minutes, and meeting materials.
11

- 12 (b) If not, please explain why not and provide an estimate for when such a committee will
13 begin operating.
14

15 **Request IR-5:**
16

17 RE: Exhibit A-1, p. 6, stating that the NSIESO is mandated to “develop terms of reference for and
18 carry out an integrated resource planning exercise as required.”
19

20 Further, in NS Power’s 2025 IRP Action Plan and Roadmap Update (M12247),
21

- 22 i. Synapse Recommendation 1 points to the need to update the resource plan to reflect
23 the schedule for the Reliability Tie, including the 100 MW of incremental firm
24 import, to assess the scale of need for new CTs in Nova Scotia as discussed in
25 Synapse Recommendation 3.
26
- 27 ii. Synapse Recommendation 4 points to the need to further evaluate Mersey’s
28 economic prudence; the Mersey redevelopment project has not been evaluated
29 since the 2020 IRP and the next decision point on the Mersey redevelopment project
30 is expected be considered using IRP modeling data.
31
- 32 iii. NS Power states that it continues to advance work on the Effective Load Carrying
33 Capacity study and Net Zero Atlantic’s hybrid peak assessment to be used as inputs
34 to the next IRP.
35
- 36 iv. NS Power’s Dynamic Line Ratings Project is expected to be completed by the end
37 of 2025 and initial evaluation results should be available in short order.
38

39 In anticipation of the transition of system planning responsibilities to the NSIESO in Q4 2025
40 (Exhibit A-1, p. 5),
41

- 42 (a) Is there any reason that NS Power should not make full efforts to advance topics (i) through
43 (iv), including preliminary modeling, in advance of the scheduled transition?
44

- 45 (b) What is the status of the required offer of employment to non-unionized NS Power
46 employees (Exhibit A-1, p. 20, Exhibit B-2, p. 19-20) for positions related to system

1 planning? Please provide as much detail as possible, understanding the necessity to respect
2 personnel matters.
3

4 (c) Please describe in as much as possible the arrangements for the transfer of system planning
5 resources, such as hardware, data access, and software (licenses, support contracts, etc.)
6 from NS Power to the NSIESO. If any such transfers are uncertain or have been determined
7 to be infeasible (e.g., due to contract limitations), please describe the reasons for the
8 uncertainty or determination and itemize, to the extent feasible, the likely costs for
9 obtaining substitute hardware, data access, or software resources.
10

11 (d) Recognizing the deadline to commence resource planning of October 24, 2025 (Exhibit B-
12 2, p. 21), what is the NSIESO's best estimate as to when it will be able to complete and
13 share with parties or the Board its first system planning modeling results? Please provide
14 appropriate caveats along with the best current estimate, including any budget or personnel
15 limitations.
16

17 (e) What NSIESO responsibilities (e.g., procurements for energy resources) will depend on
18 the actual schedule for completing system planning modeling results?
19

20 (f) Please explain how the NSIESO will evaluate the schedule for the fast-acting generation
21 project in light of supply chain limitations, and describe the nature and cost of any
22 investments in this project the NSIESO anticipates committing to or endorsing during the
23 proposed budget period.
24

25 (g) Please describe the NSIESO's plans, schedule, and budget to evaluate the Stage 2 NS-NB
26 Reliability Intertie Project. If the NSIESO has not developed this information, please
27 explain when it will do so or, if it has not committed to such an evaluation, provide the
28 reasons for such a decision.
29

30 **Request IR-6:**

31
32 RE: Exhibit B-2, p. 25-26, discussing the fast-acting generation.
33

34 (a) Please clarify the total amount of fast-acting generation that the NSIESO anticipates
35 procuring (300 MW, 600 MW, or some other figure).
36

37 (b) Please explain whether the NSIESO anticipates advancing the procurement for the fast-
38 acting generation based on the referenced documents (2030 Clean Power Plan and NSPI's
39 2023 Evergreen IRP), or whether it intends to conduct additional modeling assessment.
40

41 (c) Does the NSIESO have the right to use procurement documents developed for the Nova
42 Scotia Rate-Based Procurement?