

**From:** [dt\\_macleod@glenalpine.ca](mailto:dt_macleod@glenalpine.ca)  
**To:** [Henwood, Crystal D](#)  
**Subject:** M12600 - Inquiry into Nova Scotia Power's Cybersecurity Incident  
**Date:** March 27, 2026 2:32:36 PM

---

**\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL / COURRIEL EXTERNE \*\***

Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking on links / Faites preuve de prudence si vous ouvrez une pièce jointe ou cliquez sur un lien

Good afternoon,

I'm writing to inform you of my application for Formal Intervenor Status concerning M12600 - Inquiry into Nova Scotia Power's Cybersecurity Incident. I am not a lawyer. I realize that my application is late. For this I apologize.

Could you guide me to the appropriate references for the creation of the brief.

I am a residential customer of Nova Scotia Power whose sensitive personal information was compromised in the April 2025 breach. My interest is distinct due to my professional background as a former Intelligence Analyst. My personal data potentially sets myself at risk for exploitation by state-sponsored or criminal actors.

I have already submitted the following to [board@novascotia.ca](mailto:board@novascotia.ca):

### **Request for Formal Intervenor Status**

To: Clerk of the Board, Nova Scotia Energy Board

Matter: M12600 - Inquiry into Nova Scotia Power's Cybersecurity Incident

Date: March 26, 2026

#### **1. Intervenor Identification**

Name: David Thomas MacLeod

Address:



Contact:

Email: [dt\\_macleod@glenalpine.ca](mailto:dt_macleod@glenalpine.ca)



#### **2. Nature of Interest**

I am a residential customer of Nova Scotia Power whose sensitive personal information was compromised in the April 2025 breach. My interest is distinct due to my professional background as a former Intelligence Analyst. My personal data potentially sets myself at risk for exploitation by state-sponsored or criminal actors.

#### **3. Issues to be Addressed**

I intend to address the "Reasonableness of Nova Scotia Power's Preparedness" by analyzing

the gap between publicly available geopolitical threat assessments and NSP's security posture. Specifically:

- a) The Forecasted Threat: How NSP's actions failed to align with the 2025-2026 National Cyber Threat Assessment warnings regarding Russian-nexus threats to critical infrastructure.
- b) Data Retention Failures: Why NSP retained sensitive identifiers (SINs) for 540,000 customers despite these known risks, thereby unnecessarily expanding the "attack surface."
- c) Detection Latency: The reasonableness of a 37-day delay (March 19 to April 25) in detecting a breach by a known geopolitical threat actor using established techniques.

#### **4. Intention to Participate**

I intend to:

- a) File written evidence based on public intelligence assessments.
- b) Submit Requests for Information (RfI's) to NSP regarding their threat-monitoring protocols.
- c) Appear at the oral hearing on July 27, 2026, to provide testimony or cross-examine witnesses.

Sincerely,

**David T MacLeod CD MA**