

**NON-CONFIDENTIAL**

---

**Request IR-1:**

**For each of the projects listed below:**

- **C0014218 - HYD WRC LEM Balance of Plant**
  - **29807 - HYD - Tusket Falls Main Dam**
  - **C0021140 - New 138KV-25KV Substation – Stellarton**
  - **C0045132 - Eastern Clean Energy Initiative (ECEI) - Energy Storage**
  - **C0032382 - Susie Lake Substation Addition**
  - **C0072808 - Synchronous Condensers (ECEI)**
  - **C0021608 - TUC Shoreline Sheetpile Refurbishment**
  - **C0047278 - IT - Oracle MDM Upgrade**
  - **Any additional projects reflected in ACE 2026 with a percentage change from the original approved project cost (first approval) greater than or equal to 30%**
- a) Please provide the initially approved project cost (baseline) at the time of first approval and the year in which the project was approved.**
- b) Please provide the project cost as reflected in ACE 2026, along with:**
- (i) the absolute change in cost (\$) relative to the original approved cost; and**

**NON-CONFIDENTIAL**

---

1        **(ii) the percentage change (%) relative to the original approved cost.**

2  
3        **c) Please provide the incremental rate impact attributable solely to the cost change**  
4        **identified in Item (b), expressed in cents per kWh. Please briefly describe the**  
5        **methodology and key assumptions used to calculate the rate impact.**

6  
7        **d) Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons for the change in the original**  
8        **approved cost.**

9  
10       **e) Please describe in detail the actions taken by NS Power to contain costs and mitigate the**  
11       **increase in project costs and associated rate impacts.**

12  
13       Response IR-1:

14  
15       Of the eight projects listed, four have an original NSEB-approved amount, one was submitted with  
16       2026 ACE for approval, and the other three have not yet been submitted to the NSEB for approval.

17  
18       (a-b) Please refer to Attachment 1.

19  
20       (c) NS Power is unable to provide the requested information by cost change and incremental  
21       rate impact, expressed in cents per kWh.

22  
23       Due to the significant volume of inputs and uncertainties of the various inputs that may  
24       impact the determination of revenue requirement and subsequently the potential rate  
25       impacts, NS Power believes General Rate Applications are the more appropriate venue to  
26       consider rate impacts.

27  
28       (d) Of the above noted list, only one project reflected in the 2026 ACE Plan has a percentage  
29       change from the original approved project cost (first approval) greater than or equal to 30

**NON-CONFIDENTIAL**

---

1 percent: Tusket Main Dam Refurbishment (CI 29807). One other project not listed above  
2 – Marshall Falls Dam Refurbishment (CI 49756) – meets the criteria.

3  
4 The Tusket Main Dam Refurbishment project experienced project execution challenges  
5 and scope growth without changing the intent of the project. The project experienced  
6 significant water infiltration issues during execution, an extended construction timeline,  
7 additional environmental permitting requirements, and additional archaeology and  
8 Mi'kmaq engagement requirements.

9  
10 As a result of the 2019 *Fisheries Act*, the Marshall Falls Dam Refurbishment project now  
11 requires fish and eel passage, along with additional refurbishment to address found  
12 deficiencies, which were not included in the original project work.

13  
14 For both the Tusket Main Dam Refurbishment and the Marshall Falls Dam Refurbishment,  
15 the Company will file Authorization to Overspend (ATO) applications with the Board with  
16 a clear and detailed scope description and cost support when there is sufficient detail for  
17 projects of this magnitude and complexity.

- 18  
19 (e) NS Power employs ongoing cost minimization strategies across all stages of capital project  
20 development and execution, including preliminary engineering to select least-cost options,  
21 competitive procurement, design adjustments, scope optimizations, salvage, and process  
22 efficiencies. However, the nature of Hydro Dam Refurbishment projects is such that until  
23 civil construction begins, it is not possible to have a full understanding of all required costs  
24 as ground conditions can vary significantly from geotechnical studies completed ahead of  
25 time.

2026 ACE NSDoE IR-001 Attachment 1

| Status             | CI Number | Title                                        | First Approval Year | Original Approved Project Cost | 2026 ACE Project Total | Variance (\$) | Variance % |
|--------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------|
| Approved           | 29807     | HYD - Tuskett Falls Main Dam                 | 2019                | \$ 18,157,609                  | \$ 78,974,410          | \$ 60,816,801 | 335%       |
| Approved           | C0021140  | New 138KV-25KV Substation Stellarton         | 2025                | \$ 12,960,396                  | \$ 12,960,396          | \$ -          | 0%         |
| Approved           | C0045132  | ECEI - Battery Energy Storage System Project | 2024                | \$ 237,772,259                 | \$ 240,387,557         | \$ 2,615,298  | 1%         |
| Approved           | 49756     | HYD Marshall Falls Dam Refurbishment         | 2020                | \$ 5,452,794                   | \$ 13,850,456          | \$ 8,397,662  | 154%       |
| Approved           | C0014218  | HYD WRC LEM Balance of Plant                 | 2021                | \$ 27,637,896                  | \$ 27,526,247          | \$ (111,649)  | 0%         |
| Awaiting Approval  | C0021608  | TUC Shoreline Sheetpile Refurbishment        | 2026                | \$ -                           | \$ 5,691,466           | N/A           | N/A        |
| Pending Submission | C0032382  | Susie Lake Substation Addition               | N/A                 | \$ -                           | \$ 8,256,386           | N/A           | N/A        |
| Pending Submission | C0072808  | Synchronous Condensers (ECEI)                | N/A                 | \$ -                           | \$ 364,566,804         | N/A           | N/A        |
| Pending Submission | C0047278  | IT - Oracle MDM Upgrade                      | N/A                 | \$ -                           | \$ 7,949,409           | N/A           | N/A        |

**NON-CONFIDENTIAL**

---

**Request IR-2:**

**For each generation project included in the ACE 2026 having project total \$5M and above, please provide:**

- (a) The primary purpose of the project (e.g., life-extension, reliability, compliance, capacity, energy, operational flexibility), and whether the project results in any incremental energy or capacity.**
- (b) The remaining useful life of the asset prior to the project and the expected incremental extension of asset life (in years) resulting from the project.**
- (c) The estimated levelized cost of energy (LCOE) associated with the project, including capital recovery and incremental operating and maintenance costs, over the post-project asset life. Please state key assumptions used in the LCOE calculation.**
- (d) The comparison of LCOE in (C) above with LCOE of alternative generation resources including renewable resources, storage and non-wires-alternatives.**
- (e) An explanation of how the project was assessed under NS Power's least-cost planning framework, including whether replacement, retirement, deferral, or alternative procurement options were evaluated and why they were not selected.**
- (f) The expected impact of the project on rate base and annual revenue requirement over the remaining and extended life of the asset.**

**Response IR-2:**

There are two generation projects listed included in the 2026 ACE Plan for approval that exceed \$5 million:

**NON-CONFIDENTIAL**

---

- 1 • C0021608 TUC Shoreline Sheetpile Refurbishment - \$5.7 million
- 2
- 3 • C0068898 TUC1 IP LP Last Stage Blade Replacement - \$5.2 million
- 4
- 5 (a) Both projects are justified based on ensuring Tufts Cove can reliably supply the necessary
- 6 energy and capacity to meet system demand. No incremental energy or capacity will be
- 7 achieved through these projects.
- 8
- 9 (b) The Shoreline Sheetpile project is expected to extend the life of the asset by 50 years, and
- 10 the Turbine IP/LP Last Stage Blade Replacement will extend the life of the asset by 25
- 11 years.
- 12
- 13 (c-e) NS Power did not complete a levelized cost of energy (LCOE) analysis on an individual
- 14 project level for these investments. That analysis is completed through the Integrated
- 15 Resource Planning exercise which evaluates maintaining all of NS Power's generating
- 16 assets, with an assumed ongoing sustaining capital plan, against other generation sources.
- 17 Tufts Cove was found to be - the least cost option generation mix, with capital investments
- 18 such as these included in the sustaining capital forecast. Unless there is a marked increase
- 19 in the sustaining capital investment required at a generating unit or facility, there is no
- 20 benefit in completing an LCOE analysis at the individual project level.
- 21
- 22 (f) These two projects would project to increase rate base by \$5.7 million (C0021608) and
- 23 \$5.2 million (C0068898). NS Power does not calculate individual revenue requirement
- 24 impacts on sustaining capital investments such as these; however, these projects would
- 25 increase revenue requirement by expenses such as depreciation and financing, offset in the
- 26 first year due to administrative overhead. Both investments were included in the recent
- 27 General Rate Application and were factored into any rate impact and revenue requirement
- 28 analysis within that application.

**NON-CONFIDENTIAL**

---

**Request IR-3:**

**Reference: Exhibit N-1: Application - Section 8.0 Distribution**

- (a) Please explain how Distribution capital planning is explicitly linked to historical and forecast customer additions, specifically addressing any deviations from the historic average.
- (b) Please provide a table showing the distribution spending in each of the last ten years against the customer additions and annual reliability statistics for the same years.
- (c) Please provide justification of \$ per Customer and \$ per kW values in 2026 relative to historic values and identify the specific cost drivers (e.g., inflation, labor, technical complexity) responsible for this variance.
- (d) Has NS Power performed any benchmarking comparison of \$ per additional Customer and \$ per additional kW values with comparable utilities in Atlantic region, Canada and North America? If so, please provide.
- (e) Explain whether lower-cost connection standards, phasing mechanisms or prioritization criteria were evaluated to mitigate the rate base impact of these growth-related investments.
- (f) Please describe the specific cost-containment measures used to prevent systematic over-investment. Clarify whether per-customer cost caps or post-completion audits are applied to Routine upgrades to ensure actual expenditures align with forecast benefits and least-cost obligations.

**NON-CONFIDENTIAL**

---

1 Response IR-3:

2  
3 (a) Distribution capital planning is linked to historical and forecast customer additions, in that  
4 the original Distribution investment is driven by the number of customers. For 2026  
5 distribution capital planning, the new customer routine program is forecast using historical  
6 information and is driven by new customer connection and upgrade requests and load  
7 growth-driven projects are partially driven by the addition of new customers. However,  
8 the majority of the Distribution program is driven by risk-based investment to maintain,  
9 and improve, the reliability of the original distribution system.

10  
11 (b) Please refer to Attachment 1. The actuals include all investment in Distribution Line  
12 projects for 2016-2025.

13  
14 (c) The increased level of investment shown in part (b) is primarily driven by the continued  
15 increase in reliability investment required to serve the current customer base. While some  
16 increase is due to the increase of new customer connections over the last two to three years,  
17 the increased distribution investment is primarily driven by maintaining and improving the  
18 reliability of the existing distribution system.

19  
20 (d) No, NS Power has not completed this benchmarking exercise. As discussed above, the  
21 majority of the Distribution investment program is based on reliability investment, and as  
22 detailed in the Five-Year Reliability Plan is the investment required to achieve  
23 Performance Standards. NS Power completes other benchmarking as outlined in the  
24 Company's General Rate Application currently before the NSEB in matter M12451.<sup>1</sup>

25  
26 (e) Across the entire capital program, when a capital need is present, such as connecting a new  
27 customer, it is completed in the least cost method while meeting the technical requirements  
28 for each customer connection or upgrade. NS Power does not consider there to be an

---

<sup>1</sup> M12451, NS Power General Rate Application, Exhibit N-14, OP-03 Attachment 1, September 18, 2025.

**NON-CONFIDENTIAL**

---

1 acceptable lower-cost connection standard, phasing mechanism, or prioritization criteria  
2 that would lower the investment required and still meet the technical requirements.

- 3  
4 (f) As discussed in part (e), the least cost alternative is selected to meet the minimum technical  
5 requirements of each new customer connection or upgrade. While there is no cap applied  
6 to each individual customer connection or upgrade, NS Power's Regulations establish clear  
7 limits and cost responsibility parameters to contain the scope of utility-funded investments.  
8 For instance, Regulation 2.6 limits the length of line or service extension that the Company  
9 will provide at no cost to the customer. Similarly, Regulation 2.11 requires the requesting  
10 customer pay the incremental cost where underground service is requested in lieu of the  
11 least cost alternative.

12  
13 At a program level, the pursuit of least cost alternative is ingrained in all areas of the capital  
14 program, including the Distribution program as a whole and the new customer routines  
15 specifically. In addition, post-completion audit oversight is provided through the existing  
16 Authorization to Overspend (ATO) process, whereby capital projects or routines that  
17 exceed the thresholds as defined in the Board-approved Capital Expenditure Justification  
18 Criteria (CEJC) are subject to regulatory review and approval to confirm that the  
19 investments being made are prudent and choosing the least cost alternative. Due to the  
20 increase in new customer investment over the last two to three years, NS Power has filed  
21 ATO applications each year to the NSEB in order to justify this overspend. In each  
22 instance, the application has been approved after review by the NSEB. This process  
23 provides additional review and approval of those expenditures associated with customer  
24 connections and upgrades are not the result of NS Power over-investing in this work.

2026 ACE NSDoE IR-003 Attachment 1

|      |                       |                    | All Events |            |       |       | Performance Standards |           |       |       |
|------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|-----------|-------|-------|
| Year | Actuals* (\$Millions) | Customer Additions | CI         | CHI        | SAIFI | SAIDI | CI                    | CHI       | SAIFI | SAIDI |
| 2016 | 68.9                  | 4,070              | 1,721,114  | 5,988,996  | 3.42  | 11.90 | 1,237,756             | 2,545,202 | 2.46  | 5.06  |
| 2017 | 79.1                  | 4,284              | 1,424,528  | 4,345,044  | 2.81  | 8.57  | 875,438               | 1,722,474 | 1.73  | 3.4   |
| 2018 | 114.6                 | 4,560              | 2,322,338  | 8,283,350  | 4.56  | 16.26 | 1,020,959             | 2,254,480 | 2     | 4.43  |
| 2019 | 166.1                 | 3,942              | 2,328,806  | 22,597,116 | 4.52  | 43.88 | 1,330,956             | 3,082,595 | 2.58  | 5.99  |
| 2020 | 143.6                 | 5,550              | 1,399,689  | 3,395,338  | 2.71  | 6.57  | 1,057,503             | 2,055,162 | 2.05  | 3.98  |
| 2021 | 116.1                 | 6,941              | 1,342,895  | 2,936,288  | 2.56  | 5.60  | 1,195,236             | 2,742,320 | 2.28  | 5.23  |
| 2022 | 209.9**               | 5,119              | 3,090,633  | 39,778,029 | 5.82  | 74.87 | 1,161,616             | 2,743,411 | 2.19  | 5.16  |
| 2023 | 148.5                 | 7,712              | 2,667,778  | 12,375,476 | 4.97  | 23.03 | 1,172,373             | 2,801,848 | 2.18  | 5.21  |
| 2024 | 174.9                 | 8,586              | 1,502,007  | 3,460,294  | 2.75  | 6.34  | 1,076,278             | 2,869,530 | 1.97  | 5.26  |
| 2025 | 174.5                 | 7,285              | 1,610,994  | 5,627,705  | 2.92  | 10.19 | 869,188               | 2,645,492 | 1.57  | 4.79  |

\*Includes actuals for all Distribution Line for 2016-2025.

\*\*Increase driven by CI 47124 - Advanced Metering Infrastructure project.

**NON-CONFIDENTIAL**

---

**Request IR-4:**

**Reference: Exhibit N-1: Application - Section 9.0 (General Plant) (PDF Page 57)**

- (a) General Plant capital for 2026 is forecast at \$92.5 M, a significant increase from the 2025 budget of \$65M. Please justify this elevated spending relative to historical averages and identify which projects are mandatory for safety/compliance versus discretionary service improvements.**
- (b) NS Power has budgeted \$22M for vehicle replacements in 2026. Demonstrate why this request is prudent given in comparison with the historical average during 2016–2025. Identify whether deferral or extended asset life scenarios were evaluated to smooth the ratepayer impact of these fleet investments.**

**Response IR-4:**

- (a)** The increase in general plant investment in 2026 of \$27 million is primarily driven by an increase in NS Power's IT investment of \$14 million, driven by (1) 2025 work being deferred to 2026 due to resource constraints, (2) an increase of solutions moving to Software as a Service as opposed to an on-premises solution which generally comes with a higher up front cost but no higher total cost of ownership and improved upgrade capabilities throughout the asset life, and (3) continued cyber security investment (not related to the response to the cyber incident). An additional \$4 million increase is due to an increase in vehicle replacements as NS Power continues to catch up from delayed line truck deliveries since COVID, a further increase of \$3.5 million is due to the ECC - Wind Integration project, and finally, an increase of \$2 million is due to the inclusion of the Storm Management Software Implementation project.
- (b)** This increase is higher than the historical average for two reasons:

**NON-CONFIDENTIAL**

---

1  
2 (1) NS Power continues to play catch up from delayed line truck deliveries since  
3 COVID. A number of the deliveries budgeted for 2026 were originally scheduled  
4 for 2024 or 2025, and  
5

6 (2) The cost of line trucks and passenger vehicles has increased significantly since  
7 COVID which increases the routine budget even if the quantities remain the same.  
8

9 Deferral and extended asset life scenarios are always considered to ensure that NS Power  
10 is not replacing assets in an earlier timeframe than is needed, however due to these  
11 replacements being delayed already, they're replacing vehicles that are already past their  
12 expected useful life and experiencing significant more maintenance down time and  
13 increased maintenance costs.

**NON-CONFIDENTIAL**

---

**Request IR-5:**

**Topic: Cyber Attack**

**(a) Please confirm that no portion of the costs associated with the recent cyber security incident is being passed to ratepayers through this application.**

**(b) Explain the accounting "ring-fencing" measures implemented to ensure Nova Scotia Power's commitments made in the November 25 committee hearing regarding the cybersecurity incident are upheld.**

**Response IR-5:**

**(a) Confirmed.**

**(b) The Company utilizes project codes that allows the Company to charge, track and review its capital costs within a specific project. For capital investment that is deemed to be "non-regulated", NS Power tracks those projects separately and removes those projects from its regulated financial statements to ensure those costs are removed from rate base and not paid for by customers. This process had already been in place to track all non-regulated spending, prior to the cyber incident.**

**NON-CONFIDENTIAL**

---

**Request IR-6:**

**(a) Please explain the grid services offered by and justifications underpinning the request for synchronous condensers in this application.**

**(b) What alternatives to synchronous condensers did NS Power analyze?**

**(c) How did NS Power arrive at the conclusion that number of synchronous condensers identified is the correct number?**

**(d) Is experience with ECEI batteries required before the role of synchronous condensers can be fully understood.**

**Response IR-6:**

(a-d) The Synchronous Condensers project is included in the 2026 ACE Plan as a project for subsequent submittal. NS Power continues to advance work to further define the project scope and refine cost estimates. Additional details will be provided in a future filing, currently planned for later in 2026.

**NON-CONFIDENTIAL**

---

**Request IR-7:**

**(a) Please provide a table showing the investment in each hydro system by year for each of the last ten years.**

**(b) In the same table, please add the production capacity and production volume for the year in question**

**Response IR-7:**

**(a-b) Please refer to Attachment 1.**

2026 ACE NSDoE IR-007 Attachment 1

(a) Investment in Hydro System by Year 2016-2025:

| Hydro System       | 2016          | 2017          | 2018          | 2019          | 2020          | 2021          | 2022          | 2023          | 2024          | 2025          | Total          |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Annapolis          | \$ 3,108,195  | \$ 969,121    | \$ 1,611,900  | \$ 342,526    | \$ 356,276    | \$ 105,206    | \$ 1,344,787  | \$ 1,575,799  | \$ 1,319,900  | \$ 2,296,535  | \$ 13,030,247  |
| Avon River         | \$ (125,751)  | \$ 149,012    | \$ 899,984    | \$ (138,572)  | \$ 0          | \$ 156,832    | \$ 400,885    | \$ 446,368    | \$ 652,417    | \$ 1,803,731  | \$ 4,206,287   |
| Bear River         | \$ 1,137,467  | \$ 4,702,721  | \$ 1,199,234  | \$ 455,996    | \$ 4,811,252  | \$ 679,541    | \$ 315,596    | \$ 58,850     | \$ 447,660    | \$ 1,519,343  | \$ 15,139,732  |
| Black River        | \$ 7,536,513  | \$ 3,364,015  | \$ 1,234,441  | \$ 84,256     | \$ 580,275    | \$ 4,111,286  | \$ 1,215,067  | \$ 2,990,457  | \$ 1,649,468  | \$ 1,486,254  | \$ 24,290,651  |
| Dickie Brook       | \$ 41,282     | \$ 26,958     | \$ 274,626    | \$ 22,729     | \$ 109,517    | \$ 1,027,922  | \$ 120,511    | \$ 680,430    | \$ 225,340    | \$ 39,968     | \$ 2,569,284   |
| Fall River         | \$ 389,601    | \$ 33,295     | \$ (14,562)   | \$ 5,659      | \$ 898        | \$ 1,041      | \$ 38,979     | \$ 302,496    | \$ 200,743    | \$ 232,427    | \$ 1,190,578   |
| Harmony River      | \$ 58,611     | \$ 299,893    | \$ 41,145     | \$ -          | \$ -          | \$ -          | \$ -          | \$ -          | \$ -          | \$ -          | \$ 399,649     |
| Lequille River     | \$ 210,005    | \$ 4,351,318  | \$ 6,518,644  | \$ 2,129,531  | \$ 129,636    | \$ 837,556    | \$ 1,976,091  | \$ 516,150    | \$ 967,284    | \$ 4,285,643  | \$ 21,514,271  |
| Mersey River       | \$ 459,972    | \$ 210,539    | \$ 786,926    | \$ 565,809    | \$ 461,120    | \$ 6,034,393  | \$ 5,522,062  | \$ 4,623,788  | \$ 5,962,020  | \$ 5,861,119  | \$ 21,815,899  |
| Nictaux River      | \$ 1,170,509  | \$ 1,878,024  | \$ 113,119    | \$ 594,714    | \$ 516,581    | \$ 110,177    | \$ 589,821    | \$ 440,600    | \$ 605,961    | \$ 290,311    | \$ 6,309,819   |
| Roseway River      | \$ 11,906     | \$ 3,930      | \$ (356,162)  | \$ -          | \$ -          | \$ -          | \$ 120,159    | \$ 1,004,157  | \$ 2,334,631  | \$ 313,326    | \$ 3,431,947   |
| Sheet Harbor       | \$ 1,591,094  | \$ 1,404,190  | \$ 405,071    | \$ 3,844,224  | \$ 2,193,897  | \$ 3,017,006  | \$ 5,182,855  | \$ 2,555,663  | \$ 5,845,142  | \$ 6,229,999  | \$ 32,269,140  |
| Sissiboo River     | \$ 3,315,005  | \$ (25,213)   | \$ 2,359,994  | \$ 684,255    | \$ 149,986    | \$ 2,529,653  | \$ 1,082,011  | \$ 589,379    | \$ 871,001    | \$ 950,960    | \$ 12,296,955  |
| St. Margaret's Bay | \$ 7,619,329  | \$ 392,445    | \$ 466,377    | \$ 5,266,139  | \$ 435,168    | \$ 11,815     | \$ 133,519    | \$ 137,837    | \$ 163,909    | \$ 1,548,399  | \$ 16,174,937  |
| Tusket River       | \$ 264,930    | \$ 3,580,586  | \$ 862,348    | \$ 6,772,546  | \$ 2,486,324  | \$ 5,926,498  | \$ 4,083,830  | \$ 2,170,486  | \$ 2,304,007  | \$ 2,939,659  | \$ 31,391,214  |
| Wreck Cove         | \$ 7,250,413  | \$ 6,686,976  | \$ 7,325,187  | \$ 5,667,293  | \$ 10,418,243 | \$ 48,092,809 | \$ 61,721,403 | \$ 25,589,687 | \$ 12,797,345 | \$ 29,635,871 | \$ 28,018,442  |
| Total              | \$ 32,323,627 | \$ 24,864,621 | \$ 21,072,711 | \$ 23,730,131 | \$ 15,355,280 | \$ 26,777,330 | \$ 19,507,273 | \$ 16,815,272 | \$ 23,563,968 | \$ 30,038,840 | \$ 234,049,052 |

(b) Production Capacity and Production Volume by Year 2016-2025:

| Hydro System       | Maximum Capacity | 2016 (kWh)  | 2017 (kWh)  | 2018 (kWh)  | 2019 (kWh)    | 2020 (kWh)  | 2021 (kWh)  | 2022 (kWh)  | 2023 (kWh)  | 2024 (kWh)  | 2025 (kWh)  | Total (kWh)   |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| Annapolis          | 0                | 18,547,000  | 5,544,000   | 19,525,000  | 203,000       | -588,000    | -75,000     | -           | -           | -           | -           | 43,156,000    |
| Avon River         | 7.4              | 24,465,138  | 24,439,276  | 19,970,633  | 33,173,921    | 22,182,382  | 24,699,933  | 24,464,610  | 23,433,194  | 15,894,158  | 7,493,409   | 220,216,654   |
| Bear River         | 11.2             | 27,083,150  | 22,190,586  | 30,934,364  | 26,033,571    | 29,884,179  | 21,195,552  | 42,382,221  | 44,630,743  | 30,081,739  | 20,699,500  | 295,115,605   |
| Black River        | 22.3             | 79,042,910  | 60,905,845  | 101,723,981 | 114,271,541   | 73,437,567  | 80,179,148  | 78,702,044  | 82,912,014  | 86,953,980  | 45,736,290  | 803,865,320   |
| Dickie Brook       | 3.8              | 9,232,879   | 9,940,477   | 8,355,556   | 8,895,218     | 7,247,444   | 5,785,561   | 8,628,517   | 9,163,700   | 9,567,175   | 6,386,350   | 83,202,877    |
| Fall River         | 0.5              | 1,403,118   | 2,277,916   | 2,319,659   | 2,231,496     | 1,973,713   | 1,855,643   | 2,191,749   | 2,666,540   | 1,588,535   | 1,520,305   | 20,028,674    |
| Lequille River     | 11.2             | 20,316,250  | 16,731,750  | 7,109,744   | 14,211,072    | 18,868,712  | 10,010,856  | 3,292,291   | 30,936,160  | 19,095,711  | 3,778,271   | 144,350,817   |
| Mersey River       | 42.5             | 204,892,166 | 219,842,426 | 225,198,175 | 224,410,213   | 165,587,899 | 187,401,109 | 217,883,019 | 202,583,626 | 131,735,533 | 120,962,090 | 1,900,496,256 |
| Nictaux River      | 6.8              | 37,474,880  | 42,537,880  | 44,901,980  | 57,346,580    | 39,138,340  | 46,373,340  | 44,258,225  | 53,400,268  | 39,633,891  | 26,114,762  | 431,180,146   |
| Paradise           | 4.8              | 21,283,060  | 17,056,055  | 27,156,660  | 30,597,270    | 14,718,360  | 21,159,352  | 22,596,360  | 15,242,826  | 13,705,669  | 10,114,469  | 193,630,081   |
| Roseway River      | 0                | -104,525    | -150,200    | -35,750     | -81,475       | -88,075     | -92,750     | -116,975    | -63,775     | -           | -           | -733,525      |
| Sheet Harbour      | 11.3             | 35,390,575  | 40,219,280  | 47,218,205  | 47,679,295    | 35,943,583  | 30,824,173  | 29,611,963  | 42,033,830  | 22,590,882  | 22,296,856  | 353,808,642   |
| Sissiboo River     | 27               | 51,483,580  | 74,951,666  | 63,229,568  | 93,586,796    | 65,621,964  | 71,369,499  | 84,537,047  | 85,076,262  | 68,846,225  | 49,917,008  | 708,619,615   |
| St. Margaret's Bay | 10.4             | 19,397,280  | 28,259,160  | 31,041,000  | 17,178,613    | 22,565,512  | 21,696,644  | 27,945,307  | 27,673,460  | 19,305,840  | 10,144,960  | 225,207,776   |
| Tusket River       | 2.2              | 8,746,000   | 12,071,000  | 11,759,000  | 11,160,000    | 6,983,000   | 4,567,125   | 5,378,081   | 10,264,235  | 5,603,808   | 4,491,680   | 81,023,929    |
| Wreck Cove         | 213.4            | 261,203,200 | 276,036,250 | 299,463,006 | 352,228,005   | 243,796,712 | 246,281,429 | 283,290,920 | 313,246,132 | 220,960,553 | 264,347,089 | 2,760,853,296 |
| Total              |                  | 819,856,661 | 852,853,367 | 939,870,781 | 1,033,125,116 | 747,273,292 | 773,231,614 | 875,045,379 | 943,199,215 | 685,563,699 | 594,003,039 | 8,264,022,163 |