

NOVA SCOTIA ENERGY BOARD

UNDERTAKING LIST

MATTER NAME: Nova Scotia Power - Application for approval of an Above-the-Line Tariff applicable to Port Hawkesbury Paper (PHP)			MATTER #: M12661	
DATE:	UND#	DESCRIPTION	REQUESTED OF BY	DATE DUE
September 21, 2026	U-1	To provide, using appropriate assumptions, the rate that would apply to Port Hawkesbury Paper, if Port Hawkesbury Paper applied to go on the large industrial interruptible rate, with interruptible credit, and the impact that would have on other large industrial interruptible customers.	NSPI PHP	October 9, 2026
September 21, 2026	U-2	To provide the 2012 study for the Stern paper Mill.	NSPI Industrial Group	October 9, 2026
September 21, 2026	U-3	To provide the periodic confirmations completed by NSPI that 65 MW is the reasonable quantity for PHP demand load.	NSPI Industrial Group	October 9, 2026
September 21, 2026	U-4	To confirm whether the other rates that apply the power factor correction to energy, are all below-the-line rates.	NSPI Industrial Group	October 9, 2026
September 21, 2026	U-5	a) Does the fact that the demand charge is fixed for PHP impact the ability to tie the power correction factor to the demand charge? b) How does this power factor impact the calculation of embedded costs for PHP?	NSPI Industrial Group	October 9, 2026

DATE	UND#	DESCRIPTION	REQUESTED OF ____ FOR ____	DUE DATE
		c) Why is the power factor correction not tied to demand instead of energy. d) Explain whether, in drafting the ELID tariff, the power factor correction clause needed to be modified to reflect the fact that PHP's charge would have been fixed, rather than metered, and whether an alternative mechanism was considered that would allow the power factor correction to operate through a demand component of a rate to charge PHP. What other alternatives would have been evaluated?		
September 21, 2026	U-6	To indicate why the power factor in the calculation for interruptible credit for PHP in footnote 5 on page 8 of the application, using the traditionally established methodology, is above one and why it is constant.	NSPI Industrial Group	October 9, 2026
September 21, 2026	U-7	a) To provide data for the interruption event on January 9, 2021, confirming PHP's load before the interruption and how much load was actually interrupted. To clarify whether PHP was also dispatched down under active demand control and show the difference between what was dispatched down and what was interrupted.	NSPI Industrial Group	October 9, 2026

DATE	UND#	DESCRIPTION	REQUESTED OF _____ FOR _____	DUE DATE
September 21, 2026	U-8	To provide the methodology for quantifying the value of active demand control discussed at the Q2 2026 FAM small working group meeting.	NSPI Industrial Group	October 9, 2026
September 21, 2026	U-9	Indicate when NSPI learned that the planned capacity for the Goose Harbour Lake Wind Project was 168 MW.	NSPI Industrial Group	October 9, 2026
September 21, 2026	U-10	To provide the provision or agreement in the PPA or PSA stating that line losses will not apply to the energy from the Goose Harbour Lake Wind Farm.	NSPI Industrial Group	October 9, 2026
September 22, 2026	U-11	To explain of the differences between the renewable energy credits (RECs) purchased by Nova Scotia Power as referred to in the FAM audit and the RECs referred to in testimony on September 21, in the Goose Harbour PPA.	NSPI Board Counsel	October 9, 2026
September 22, 2026	U-12	To review and compare the ELIADC tariff with the proposed tariffs, specifically in regard to equipment failure, and to confirm whether or not the ELIADC tariff specifically identifies equipment failure as an excuse to deviate, and if it doesn't, explain why that change is being made in the proposed tariffs.	NSPI Board Counsel	October 9, 2026
September 22, 2026	U-13	To reconcile how you get from the fully allocated demand and energy costs for 2027 in Exhibit N-6, Attachment 3, Exhibit 10 (\$26.1 million (energy) and \$12.0 million (demand)) to the demand and energy revenues from the rate for 2027 shown in the ELID Rate Design tab in Exhibit N-1(i) (\$34.2	NSPI NSEB	October 9, 2026

DATE	UND#	DESCRIPTION	REQUESTED OF _____ FOR _____	DUE DATE
		million (energy) and \$5.7 million (demand)).		
September 22, 2026	U-14	To file the most recent load forecast from PHP.	NSPI NSEB	October 9, 2026
September 22, 2026	U-15	To confirm that NSPI has not challenged the renewable attribute loss rate in its comments in the Green Choice PPA process before the Board.	NSPI PHP	October 9, 2026
September 28, 2026	U-16	To indicate when PHP understood that there was a disagreement with the utility's position about the 3-CP demand to be used.	PHP Consumer Advocate	October 9, 2026
September 28, 2026	U-17	To confirm that there is no data request on the record before the Settlement Agreement was signed in which PHP requested modelling for billing determinants other than 810 GWh of energy, with 8MW of firm demand and 65 MW of total demand at the time of NS Power's 3CP.	PHP Industrial Group	October 9, 2026
September 28, 2026	U-18	To confirm how PHP communicated to other Intervenorors that it was dissatisfied with the costing determinants used by NS Power and if communicated in writing, to provide the document.	PHP Industrial Group	October 9, 2026
September 28, 2026	U-19	Provide the shift log and any other records in the care, custody and control of PHP showing information relating to the call for interruption	PHP Industrial Group	October 9, 2026

DATE	UND#	DESCRIPTION	REQUESTED OF ____ FOR ____	DUE DATE
		and its conclusion on January 9, 2021.		
September 28, 2026	U-20	To confirm PHP's reported load at the time of NS Power's January 19, 2024 peak.	PHP Industrial Group	October 9, 2026
September 28, 2026	U-21	To respond to the question posed at the bottom of page 3 of PHP's Reply Evidence (Exhibit N-37), using a P50 value.	PHP Board Counsel	October 9, 2026