

From: dlinc08 <[REDACTED]>

Sent: May 20, 2026 5:47 PM

To: Wallace, Lisa <Lisa.Wallace@novascotia.ca>; Penney, Nicole <Nicole.Penney@novascotia.ca>; gopublic@cbc.ca; halifax@globalnews.ca; iris@halifaxexaminer.ca

Cc: Blake Williams <blake.williams@nspower.ca>; Carley Freeman <carley.freeman@nspower.ca>; Chris Lanteigne <chris.lanteigne@nspower.ca>; Jennifer Ross <jennifer.ross@nspower.ca>; Lisa Forsey <lisa.forsey@nspower.ca>; Sofia Reiner <sofia.reiner@nspower.ca>; Premier <PREMIER@novascotia.ca>; info@macgillivraylaw.com; Kings West Constituency Office <chrispalmermla@gmail.com>; Kathleen Murray <kathleen.murray@nspower.ca>; Mavhunga, Miranda <Miranda.Mavhunga@novascotia.ca>; Sonia Liao <sonia.liao@nspower.ca>; Lawson, Hollie <Hollie.Lawson@novascotia.ca>; Kozera, Kenzie N <Kenzie.Kozera@novascotia.ca>; Murdock, Tom E <Tom.Murdock@novascotia.ca>; Smith, Chase <Chase.Smith@novascotia.ca>; Energy and Regulatory Boards Tribunal <Board@novascotia.ca>

Subject: RE: M12733 NOTICE OF PROCEDURAL NULLITY: Immediate Demand for Stay Following Board Admission of Regulatory Non-Compliance

**** EXTERNAL EMAIL / COURRIEL EXTERNE ****

Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking on links / Faites preuve de prudence si vous ouvrez une pièce jointe ou cliquez sur un lien

Ms. Wallace,

Let the record be entirely clear: the document you just sent does not contain the actual classified files. Page 5 of your own attachment explicitly states that the core account reconciliation was "filed electronically" as a protected, confidential file. You have sent me an empty text shell while the actual numbers remain hidden from my view in a secret folder.

This brings us to the absolute failure of this entire process: Why is this Board continuing to follow a procedural charade when Nova Scotia Power has already failed to meet the bare minimum statutory threshold of the law?

Under basic contract law, if no signature exists, the contract never existed. A signature is not a loose formality; it is the fundamental evidence of consent. By failing to produce a single signed document after three separate opportunities before this tribunal, Nova Scotia Power has proven my entire point from the very beginning. Every single agreement they claim to enforce against me is completely fictional.

Under Regulation 6.5(3), a signed agreement is a mandatory legal requirement for any fixed billing structure. Nova Scotia Power's response to Request IR-02 admits their entire case is built on internal invoices they generated, not executed agreements. You cannot build a valid legal debt on a foundation that never legally existed in the first place.

By forcing my family to endure continued procedural delays while Nova Scotia Power uses unexecuted ghost agreements to hit my account with a \$1,776.00 collections demand, this Board is actively facilitating corporate bullying. This is the definition of unfair treatment. The Board is holding a multi-billion-dollar monopoly to an entirely

different standard than a citizen, allowing them to hide data in secret folders while running an active collection clock against a consumer.

Every single day you delay a ruling on my Stay of Enforcement, you are choosing to let a non-compliant monopoly retain unlawfully collected funds from a disabled veteran. Because they cannot produce the signatures, the alleged contracts are null and void ab initio, and the utility is legally required to pay back each and every cent taken under these unexecuted structures.

I am done participating in a broken process that holds a monopoly to a completely different standard than a citizen which you are supposed to be protecting the citizens and holding NSP accountable not the other way around. I demand that the Board immediately strike their secret submissions, order full restitution of all funds taken above my actual usage, and issue an Immediate Emergency Stay Order to stop this predatory collection clock.

The burden of proof has been in the hands of NSP to prove the signed agreements from day one, they have failed for months and multiple chances to do so, your enabling them to continue only shows how little you actually care about regulating a broken, lawless monopoly and protecting the consumers as the Board was created to do.

Respectfully beyond frustrated,

Dave Lincoln

Disabled Veteran

Sent from my Galaxy