From: dlinc08 [redacted]
Sent: May 29, 2026 1:44 PM
To: Wallace, Lisa <Lisa.Wallace@novascotia.ca>; Premier <PREMIER@novascotia.ca>; Kings West Constituency Office <chrispalmermla@gmail.com>; pm@pm.gc.ca; info@macgillivraylaw.com; atlanticnews@ctv.ca; gopublic@cbc.ca; halifax@globalnews.ca; Minister of Energy <MinDOE@novascotia.ca>; newsroom@herald.ca; investigations@cbc.ca; atlanticinvestigates@cbc.ca; newsroom@saltwire.com; investigate@globalnews.ca
Cc: Blake WIlliams <blake.williams@nspower.ca>; Carley Freeman <carley.freeman@nspower.ca>; Chris Lanteigne <chris.lanteigne@nspower.ca>; Jennifer Ross <jennifer.ross@nspower.ca>; Lisa Forsey <lisa.forsey@nspower.ca>; Sofia Reiner <sofia.reiner@nspower.ca>; iris@halifaxexaminer.ca; info@zachchurchill.com; mindrr@novascotia.ca; consumersos@globalnews.ca; tips@thecoast.ca; vivek.sood@nspower.ca; Kathleen Murray <kathleen.murray@nspower.ca>; Mavhunga, Miranda <Miranda.Mavhunga@novascotia.ca>; Sonia Liao <sonia.liao@nspower.ca>; Lawson, Hollie <Hollie.Lawson@novascotia.ca>; Kozera, Kenzie N <Kenzie.Kozera@novascotia.ca>; Murdock, Tom E <Tom.Murdock@novascotia.ca>; Smith, Chase <Chase.Smith@novascotia.ca>; Penney, Nicole <Nicole.Penney@novascotia.ca>
Subject: Forwarded Notice of Regulatory Default: Board Refusal to Review Explicit Violations of Law (Matter M12733)

To All,

Let the record explicitly show that the Nova Scotia Energy Board has officially refused to address a single material regulatory violation or common law breach placed before them on this thread.

As documented in the forwarded text below, the Chief Clerk's official position on behalf of this regulator is that because a decision document has been issued, the Board now considers its open non-compliance with provincial law to be closed.

To the media desks, legislative leadership, and federal oversight on this chain: this is the definitive signature of regulatory capture. When faced with unassailable proof that a regulated utility has completely bypassed signature mandates under Regulation 6.5, violated mandatory stays under Regulation 6.4, and forced illegal extractions during active disputes under Regulation 6.3, this public board's response is to simply abdicate its statutory duty and walk away from its own administrative mess. They are willfully choosing to break settled contract law to allow Nova Scotia Power to continue to extract unauthorized balances from consumers by permitting third-party verbal authorizations on active profiles. Not only does this break the law, what is the point of having a regulating body if they refuse to regulate when clear black and white evidence is directly in front of them?

By stating that they consider this matter closed while actively permitting an illegal, void debt to be enforced through an active collections clock, this board is openly validating corporate theft.

The administrative process is completely exhausted. This open refusal to enforce provincial utility regulations will be served directly to the Registrar as primary evidence of an excess of jurisdiction and absolute structural bias.

This position confirms that the board is in complete non-compliance with its own regulatory duties and the governing law. It signals a preference to shield Nova Scotia Power from scrutiny rather than execute its core statutory mandate to regulate operations and protect the public interest. These willful administrative omissions will be brought directly to the attention of the Nova Scotia Court of Appeal. This official response also provides the definitive proof the class action needs to ensure their case with over 13,000 consumers who are all facing the exact same billing practices win their case hands down, costing millions in damages. Based on a conservative baseline of 20,000 dollars stolen per household, this systemic practice across 13,000 citizens exposes this utility to an estimated 260,000,000 dollars in total commercial damages. That massive total accounts purely for the stolen funds, entirely separate from the severe punitive damages that will be added by the courts to stop this unlawful corporate action from continuing. By officially refusing to evaluate these files, the board has now added its own documented regulatory non-compliance directly to this liability chain.

By choosing not to re-evaluate this decision, the board has completely bypassed multiple explicit regulatory issues that have been raised multiple times, alongside established case law engineered to protect consumers. A public regulator cannot treat its own enforcement rules as optional. True administrative leadership requires a willingness to investigate documented errors and correct non-compliance immediately. Avoiding accountability on a matter of public law while simultaneously allowing an active collections clock to run against a consumer does not constitute regulatory oversight in any form.

The board was provided a clear opportunity to correct these documented mistakes and unlawful actions, but chose to avoid administrative accountability. This response ensures that the complete record of this default is preserved. It is deeply concerning to see a public regulatory body refuse to regulate while routinely approving rate increases for a utility operating in open breach of contract laws. This file is being compiled for immediate escalation to the Court of Appeal to answer for a total breakdown of regulatory standards.

Respectfully,

Dave Lincoln
Disabled Veteran

Sent from my Galaxy